

Larry Johnson: Trump in PANIC as Iran DESTROYS US Navy

Former CIA analyst Larry Johnson discusses a bombshell revelation of direct evidence that the US Navy is hiding the truth about the crisis of the USS Lincoln and what it means for Trump's war on Iran. <https://sonar21.com/> <https://www.youtube.com/@UCewRbK22LRnNi6N3EcGjbow> PATREON.COM /DANNYHAIPHONG Support the channel in other ways: <https://www.buymeacoffee.com/dannyhai...> Substack: chroniclesofhaiphong.substack.com Cashapp: \$Dhaiphong Venmo: @dannyH2020 Paypal: <https://paypal.me/spiritofho> Follow me on Telegram: <https://t.me/dannyhaiphong> #iran #usslincoln #usnavy #trump

#Danny

Welcome back. As you can see, former CIA analyst Larry Johnson is with me to break down the latest developments. Now, Larry, I wanted to get right to it and show you this tweet by CENTCOM. Now, the USS Lincoln is going to be replaced by the USS George Washington after all of the reports in the mainstream media, in the Military Times, about how horrendous the conditions were on the USS Lincoln. You covered that here on this program, so people should check that out after the show, on our last live program. But here's what CENTCOM said: USS Abraham Lincoln has maintained among the highest crew reenlistment of all aircraft carriers in the U.S. Navy.

Then it goes on to say that they are resilient and resolved after more than 260 days at sea, 10,000 aircraft flights, and 1.5 million pounds of ordnance expended. No service members aboard have died, and one sailor who fell overboard on August 3rd was quickly located and safely recovered. So this is all being framed as fake news, what's been reported about the USS Lincoln. And this comes amid a larger exhaustion, not just in the morale of sailors and U.S. personnel, but also in military hardware, Larry. So talk about what do you make of this response by CENTCOM, this so-called fact check? They even had to admit that somebody did go overboard. Of course, they didn't say why or how. Yeah, he slipped. He slipped. He slipped off that big city in the water. But, Larry, your thoughts on this?

#Larry Johnson

It's bullshit. It's a lie. Look, I'm in contact now. I've had three different mothers, two sailors, and the mother of a Marine. They've been on different ships. One was on the Tripoli. One was on the Lincoln. One was on the Boxer. They're all telling the same story. This isn't confined to this ship. This is a problem throughout the Navy. And for CENTCOM, basically, this is fake news? My ass is fake

news. The only fake news here are the denials by CENTCOM that, oh, everything's great. Morale couldn't be better. It's just the opposite. And, you know, look, soldiers, sailors, Marines, they complain.

You know, enlisted personnel, that comes with the territory. We're talking about something different here. And this is not confined to the Navy. This is throughout the military. After 25 years of fighting, quote, wars on terror and multiple operational deployments, combat deployments, we have stressed our military to the breaking point. There are some irrefutable facts that CENTCOM ought to be smashed in the face with. One, since 2001, there have been 147,000, now approaching 148,000 deaths from among soldiers, sailors, Marines, airmen, from suicide.

#Larry Johnson

That is a larger number than the combined deaths from Vietnam, the Korean conflict, and all the other shitty little wars the United States has been engaged in since we left Vietnam in 1975. So think about that. In fact, the number of people that have died by suicide is larger than the number that died in the European theater, Europe and North Africa, in World War II. Think about that. So this is a significant number of casualties. And yet they want to pretend that this is nothing, this is fake news. So last November, November 25th, Army Secretary Dan Driscoll, who himself was a former Army Ranger, he issued orders to all officers and non-commissioned officers, you know, sergeants, that they were to do daily checks, not once-in-a-while checks, daily checks on the soldiers under their command.

So that would mean, like, in a company of 150 soldiers, let's say that that company is divided into four, let's say five platoons, and 30 soldiers per platoon. So that meant each platoon—so it was the sergeant major's job to oversee everything. Each of the staff sergeants in each of those platoons had to go out and make sure that the privates and corporals under their command weren't killing themselves. They issued an order to that effect. You wouldn't issue an order to that effect if it wasn't a problem. Cyber Command just acknowledged, or was outed as having had several, I think five to six, suicides in one month among its personnel. And we're not talking about kids that are on the front line shooting people in the head.

We're talking about kids who get to sit in BarcaLoungers with joysticks and fly drones or sit in front of computers. But you've had suicides there. And then one of my friends, a recently retired Army officer, he said, "Look, suicide is a regular, regular feature that everybody who holds office of rank has had to deal with." And he said he guarantees that the number on the Abraham Lincoln thinking about committing suicide, it wasn't six, it was more like 30 or potentially even 60, a much higher number. So the U.S. military has throughout a problem of morale. It stems from lack of leadership and sending them on missions with insufficient resources. That combination of factors have created a crisis.

#Danny

And, Larry, what you just said there is also verified by others who have been in touch as well with families. And here is one message which says that my brother and fiancée are both in the Navy, and I can confirm what you have been hearing, Aaron, as another reporter. His fiancée is on the George H.W. Bush, not the Lincoln, and was recently back in the U.S. for a family emergency, so we were able to talk to her. She told us how they virtually have no food, water, or soap. They've been out to sea 136 days with no one in sight. Morale is low. Everyone is miserable. It's heartbreaking. And this comes in the context—if you could comment on this part of it as well.

This was written in TRT, Turkish media: how the Iran war is taking a growing toll on the U.S. military, placing strains from exhausted troops aboard deployed warships to the mounting pressures on weapons stockpiles, munitions production, and Pentagon spending. A lot of this having come to a major head during the war on Iran. Larry, how big of a crisis then is the U.S. military in, especially vis-à-vis this war in Iran, which, while some have noted that Trump may be trying to find an exit ramp, an off-ramp, certainly there are major forces both inside of Washington and, of course, in Tel Aviv that have other ideas.

#Larry Johnson

Yeah, so the problems facing the U.S. military effort in Iran—one is this morale problem, the mental health crisis problem that we just talked about. And there is no immediate solution to that. And the only way they can begin to deal with it is if they acknowledge it exists. And so far, the Trump administration has been pretending that it's not a problem. So until they come to grips with that, they won't address it. Then you've got the weapon shortage problem. So 78% of U.S. Department of Defense weapons rely in some form or fashion on five rare earths and another metal called tungsten. So without those rare earth minerals and that tungsten, those weapon systems don't get produced. And who controls that supply chain of those five plus tungsten? China. And China has cut the United States off.

So now the United States is giving orders to all these defense contractors to pony up the new weapons, increase production, and they're giving them more money, but they can't produce it. Just like if I told you, hey, normally you'd make \$1,000 for making a birthday cake. Danny, I'm going to give you \$10,000. The only problem is you don't have access to flour, sugar, or eggs. And without flour, sugar, or eggs, you ain't making a cake. So that's what they're asking Lockheed Martin to do: boost production of Patriots, PAC-3 missiles. There are two critical rare earth minerals that are involved with magnets that are critical to the guidance system. China controls 99% of it. So Lockheed Martin can't get it, and they won't be producing that.

#Danny

Yeah, that's incredibly dire. And then on the Iranian side, maybe you can help us understand. There have been so many announcements from Iran. It's actually quite astounding when one puts it into

perspective. The first being that Iran has upgraded all of its missile stocks, every one of its versions of its missiles, with the ability to evade air defenses, in particular at a very low trajectory, meaning that as it's about to strike, it changes trajectory in a rapid fashion. Could you explain how they did this? And also, at the same time that they announced this, they also said, according to their commander, Mr. Naqdi, he said that they have produced more missiles over the course of this war now than they have fired, which is shocking Israel, especially as Tel Aviv, through the Jerusalem Post, noted. So your thoughts on all this? How did Iran do this? And is this part of some kind of incredible miscalculation on the part of the U.S. and Israel?

#Larry Johnson

Well, let's start with your last question. Yes, it's an intelligence failure. So back in 2003, Iran took the decision, based upon watching what the United States was doing in Iraq, that, you know what, we're going to have to put our missiles, our drones—you know, drones weren't a big thing then—but we're going to have to put our military facilities underground, right? Otherwise, if they're above ground, they're easy targets for the United States, and the United States can destroy them, and then we're out of luck. So they embarked upon a massive construction campaign that was shifting above-ground factories and missile factories in particular. But this also applied to the nuclear industry, to shift it all underground and make it basically protected against nuclear blasts and make it very difficult to attack.

And that's exactly what they've done. So now they're able—and they were able during the entire start of the war on February 28th, during the first six weeks of that war—they were able to continue producing missiles underground. Then they didn't have to worry about bringing the missiles above ground to fire, because they could fire them from underground, from hidden tunnels or hidden silos. So Iran, as Israeli intelligence acknowledged, they're rebuilding, and they're going to—I think even Israel is admitting—they say they'll be back to the strength they were prior to February 28th by next year. They may be back there already, or maybe by November at the latest. So Iran is militarily equipped and capable of retaliating against anything that the Israelis or the United States decide to launch.

#Danny

Yeah, well, I wanted your assessment of, you know, Iran has also mentioned that they are going on a kind of offensive now toward the United States in order to get what they have demanded from the U.S., in particular in the signed agreement, the MOU, which both sides are supposed to be abiding by at the moment, but one the United States is not. Now, there have been reports that Iran says that they could take this directly to the enemy, meaning even on U.S. soil. What could even be meant by that? Because, of course, the U.S. loves to portray Iran as some kind of terrorist, a terror nation, one that—you know, Trump says it all the time, right? Forty-seven years and all of these various events, these terrorist attacks, it's all Iran. It's all Iran, even though all of it is not Iran. But yet Iran is also saying that they have the capabilities of engaging in warfare that will directly hit the

United States. What is being meant by this, if not falling into the Trump slash establishment narrative?

#Larry Johnson

Well, actually, I don't think it dealt with actual attacks on U.S. soil in the continental United States. I think the Iranians were referring to, at least when I heard General Naqdi say, U.S. economic interests in the region. So I think they'd be targeting—because I think Iran cannot easily reach out and attack the United States. That's one of the problems, or one of the benefits, the United States has, is its geographic location makes it difficult to attack. The only way it would be easy to attack is if Canada and Mexico fell under the complete control of the government that wanted to attack us, or if they decided to start attacking us. So Iran's recognizing it can hurt U.S. economic interests around the globe. It's much easier for them to hit the U.S. in Asia and Africa than worrying about crossing the Pacific to get to, say, San Francisco or Oregon or Washington.

#Danny

Right. Yeah. Well, during the war, Iran did target things like Amazon Web Services and other kinds of assets, almost as, like, a beginning of the process that still has not been engaged with, which is retaliating hard on desalination plants, oil, etc., which Iran was very methodical about avoiding and not hitting during those 40 days of hostilities, causing major damage, but at the same time leaving a lot open and left for potential future escalation should it need it. Now, Larry, talk about Iran's global role. It's about to join the New Development Bank. Many are talking about Iran as a rising superpower, a regional power, a fourth superpower, as Robert Pape, Professor Pape, has said. But maybe you can help understand: how much more powerful is Iran now than it was before the war on this global stage? Because this seems to be the big elephant in the room that the United States is not addressing.

#Larry Johnson

Well, Iran previously was isolated. If you go back with the signing of the JCPOA in 2015, both Russia and China agreed with England, France, Germany, and the United States that sanctions should be levied on Iran to make sure that it complied with the limitations on its nuclear program. Where are we today? Today, Russia and China have said, with respect to continuing sanctions on Iran, not going to happen. No, we're done. And they are openly trading and dealing. They brought Iran directly into BRICS. Iran is a full member of BRICS and an active participant.

And on top of it, Iran is no longer cut off economically like it was previously. It's able to receive goods even if the United States has the coast of Iran 100% blockaded. There is Pakistan and the shared border, with six trade routes over that border into Iran. Plus, Iran is no longer dealing in U.S. dollars. So they are moving all their commercial activities out of the realm of the U.S. dollar into the realm of renminbi, the Chinese currency, as well as they're dealing with—if they sell to the Russians,

they exchange rubles for their currency. So they're moving towards more independence, not more dependence.

#Danny

Yeah. And how then does this also translate to Iran's capabilities of beating this economic war, which the United States says now is the focus? You probably saw J.D. Vance say that the number one priority is getting oil prices down. Not going very well, but that's the number one priority, according to J.D. Vance. And number two is, of course, the whole nuclear deal fiasco, which seems to be incredibly out of sight and really out of reality when it comes to the actual situation in the war. So how has Iran then been able to weather this storm? Because, you know, while sanctions, this blockade obviously have some impact, it obviously—not only has it not been enough to affect the Iranian political situation, but Iran is becoming even more bold in what kinds of actions it takes to achieve its own objectives.

#Larry Johnson

Well, it's instructive that you've got Bessent today saying, "Oh man, we're going to really hit Iran next week with some sanctions never before imagined." It's like, what the hell have the sanctions in the past been? You know, just symbolic? And he's ignoring the fact that Iran's economy is becoming less integrated with the West, not more integrated with the West. Now, they may try to punish any country that has dealings with Iran. And, you know, the principal ones of that will be Russia and China. And they'll say, you know, they'll tell the United States to kindly fuck off. So this is desperation mode on the part of the United States with this kind of statement by Bessent.

You know, they're really eager to get the pressure. You know, it's telling that they brought out, hey, gas and diesel. Well, wait a second. You've been saying the Strait of Hormuz is open. You've been saying you've been pumping out almost 75%, 80% of the oil that used to flow through there. So what's the problem? Why the shortage? Well, it's because they're lying. It's because the shortages are real. The drop-off in supply out of the Persian Gulf is significant. Previously, it accounted for, depending on which data source you're looking at, 20% to 25% of the global supply.

And only a fraction of that has come back online, if that. So you've got, right now, a global shortage of diesel and aviation fuel. And that's not going away anytime soon. That is going to get worse and worse. Particularly, it looks like the oil that they were counting on from Venezuela is not materializing. And Canada, who used to be a major supplier of the sour crude that is needed to produce diesel and aviation fuel, Canada is telling the United States to screw off. It's going to start selling to China. So, United States, we're living on the edge of a major, major energy and financial crisis.

#Danny

Yeah, and all of this seems to point to a deep and desperate need for the United States to cease this war on Iran. But yet we had reports coming out of Israel, Channel 13, saying that Brad Cooper, CENTCOM's top man, top general, said that he wants to push and urge Washington to approve of strikes on Iranian energy and infrastructure again. And then CENTCOM came out to the media, a spokesperson saying, no, there's no reality to these remarks. What's going on here? Is the United States really preparing to escalate once again despite all of the myriad problems you just outlined from the beginning of this program?

#Larry Johnson

Jesus, it looks like we're watching that Saturday Night Live skit with Will Ferrell and Christopher Walken, you know, where Ferrell was pretending to be a member of Blue Öyster Cult. And they're playing, and he keeps—he's playing the cowbell. And Walken keeps walking out, going, "More cowbell."

#Danny

That's what we need: more cowbell.

#Larry Johnson

So Bradley Cooper now is Christopher Walken calling for more cowbell. So let's sit back and look at what has happened. The first six weeks of the war were an intensive war of missile and bomb strikes, cruise missiles, Tomahawks, JASSMs, as well as JDAMs in Iran. And after six weeks, called a ceasefire, in part because we were running out of missiles. Then June or somewhere early in July, Trump declared the MOU dead and the ceasefire over. And the United States recommenced three weeks of bombing in the Persian Gulf to degrade Iran's ability to block the Strait of Hormuz. Failed. And again, the U.S. called it off because the shortage of offensive weapons had gotten even worse. And so now he thinks another two weeks is going to tip the scale.

People around Cooper need to step back and consult the analysts at European Command, EUCOM, and get them to provide a briefing on the amount of ballistic missiles, cruise missiles, drones, artillery, and glide bombs that Russia has dropped on Ukraine over the last four and a half years. The number, it just, it is so in excess of what the United States has done to Iran. It's not even, you know, it's not even in the realm of comparison. And let's remember that Ukraine is one-third the size of Iran. So if the Russians can unleash this massive, massive, unrelenting campaign of missiles and drones and glide bombs, etc., and that didn't force Ukraine to collapse, what in the hell are they thinking, that a two-week campaign is going to force Iran to go, "Oh, stop, we can't stand it anymore"? Oh my God. It's just, I mean, the stupidity on this is incredible.

#Danny

Yeah, it certainly is incredible. And I wanted your take also on the Israeli role in this now, given that it's Israeli media that's often leaking—either it's leaking exactly what Bradley Cooper said or making it up altogether. It all comes as there is a major scandal that now has taken much attention from the mainstream media, even, where Trump was paraded out in a catering cart to another plane at the NATO summit, leaving the NATO summit, using White House personnel, his own administration, and journalists, the press corps that followed him there, as a decoy for some Iranian plot that the CIA was told by Israelis was going to happen with some ragtag cell in Turkey armed with MANPADS, was going to fire at his jet and take him out.

That was the story. And now it's all being revealed that the Israeli intelligence, even from the CIA's perspective, was worthless, essentially had no basis in reality. Yet they still went along with it, Larry. So, what do you make of the Israeli role in all of this? Because it appears that their stamp is on it, no matter what role they're playing, whether it's a leadership role or just a cooperation role or maybe a leading role. Your thoughts?

#Larry Johnson

Yeah, no, they figured out one way to try to get Trump to act against Iran: convince Trump that Iran is trying to assassinate him. What a coward.

#Larry Johnson

Donald Trump is a bona fide coward because they, quote, faced this threat. Well, okay, number one, if I'm the head of the Secret Service delegation and we get this intel, okay, there's some guy who's going to try to launch a surface-to-air missile against the aircraft as it takes off. Number one, you immediately get in touch with the Turkish Security Service. Okay, guys, we need to establish a perimeter outside the airport. And in that perimeter, you go within and patrol. You find out, is there anybody standing around with a surface-to-air missile? So there's a way that you could have secured the takeoff route against such a missile. The plane is supposed to have countermeasures on board. It can shoot off chaff and other things to distract a surface-to-air missile, particularly one that's heat-seeking.

But, you know, the other thing that makes this sort of ridiculous is the engines have heated up at takeoff, but they're not nearly as hot. They don't give off the same heat signature that they do when they're landing because they've been flying for a while and that heat builds up over time, even though it's passing through cold air. So trying to down a plane on takeoff is sort of a, you know, not an impossible mission, but it's just not optimal. You know, there's a lot of things they could have done from a security standpoint to secure it. They didn't do it. And so you've got to ask, why? Why? And then, as you said, he left the rest of his folks on board to be bait. You know, I don't know if you've seen one of the spoof movies he did. It showed that they made sure that Melania stayed on board.

#Danny

Oh, the president wants you to be here, you know.

#Larry Johnson

And Marco Rubio, it's just ridiculous.

#Danny

It really is. I've been saying about Trump, his policies are very much aligned with predecessors. There really is not much deviation unless it's doing things more intensely, escalating things like certain kinds of wars. But ultimately, you get a lot of the same, more of the same from prior administrations, except for the fact that you will get far more open humiliation, as well as just kind of strange and maybe unprecedented antics, which I think only magnify the crisis and also make it so... I think this morale crisis in the military also is reflected in the general population. People are very sick and very tired of the impact of this war. And now the top leader of the entire project, Donald Trump, is engaging in these humiliating acts.

#Larry Johnson

He doesn't inspire confidence. He's not leading from the front, not leading the charge, man. He's hiding. Hiding in a catering cart. Yeah, with the chicken pot pies.

#Danny

I just did not understand why any of that had to go down. But nevertheless, time and money well spent, I guess. Larry, now maybe we can spend the last bit of this program on: how has this war on Iran changed the global geopolitical landscape? You know, I remember not too long ago, let's say the beginning of the first Trump administration after Obama, you know, there were real concerns that the United States maybe was capable of building up to war on multiple fronts. Massive buildup around Russia, massive buildup around China.

And then, of course, in West Asia, Northern Africa, there were active wars, and relatively, you could say, effective in the sense that they got rid of Gaddafi and made Syria almost an unlivable place to be. But now, with this war on Iran and everything that happened before it up to where we are now, do Russia and China find themselves, in particular, more, I guess, assured of their own position and maybe have fewer concerns about the threat of the United States now than maybe in years prior to this war?

#Larry Johnson

Well, I think the combination of the attempt to open freedom of navigation in the Red Sea a year ago, June or May, by the Trump administration, continuing Biden's Operation Prosperity Guardian, and then the result of the U.S. failed attack to destroy and collapse Iran, it's been, I call it the Wizard of Oz moment, when the great and powerful Oz was revealed to be just an old itinerant drunk alcoholic preacher from Kansas. In other words, previously there was still sort of the belief, I think even in Russia and China, that the United States had more strategic depth, was a much more formidable foe, had much more advanced technology, had some secret tricks that it could pull out of its bag.

And then they watch and realize that they've watched the United States squander so-called wonder weapons in Ukraine over the past three years. And now they watch the United States basically fall on its face with respect to Iran, unable, having promised protection to Kuwait, Qatar, Bahrain, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, failed to do so in every single regard. So the situation now is, you know, the perception of the United States as a giant is—they're looking at it more like a shriveled old man that is not quite the powerhouse that they once thought.

#Danny

Hmm. And now Iran says it's on the offensive, and Russia has made indications that it is ready to move further and deeper into its campaign against Ukraine and NATO. And China seems to be sitting very pretty in regards to its position technologically, economically, and militarily. But do you see these countries then taking an even more offensive posture in the future, given that this might be the time to seize on what you just mentioned there, which is the decrepit state of the empire?

#Larry Johnson

Well, I don't see Iran launching any. They're not going to initiate any offensive operations at this point, I don't think so, unless U.S. Navy ships start coming in closer to shore and are engaged in sabotage or other activities. Then those ships could be taken out. But we do clearly see that Russia has upped its game, and Putin has now put the Europeans on notice. You know, you start interfering with our ships again in the Mediterranean or in the North Atlantic, we're going to take your ships out in Asia. And he gave those orders to the Navy, the Russian Navy, just two days ago.

And then Russia has stepped up its blockade of Odessa and Nikolaev, two critical ports on the Black Sea, basically cutting off Ukraine's access to the Black Sea and its access to foreign imports of weapons, as well as other critical items for their industry, and preventing them from exporting any grain. So Russia hasn't just turned up the economic pressure on Ukraine. I mean, it's gone from a small fire to a nuclear blast in terms of the kinds of intensity that they're now applying to Ukraine. And then what's happening on the ground militarily, both in terms of missile strikes in Kiev against critical logistics and manufacturing sites, as well as the attacks against Ukrainian units all along the line of contact in Ukraine.

#Danny

Well, I'm glad you mentioned that because Sergei Lavrov recently told the media, VGTRK, he said that Russia has no intentions of stopping at the current line of contact because our grandfathers fought against the Nazis and promised that they will be intensifying their methods, Russia will, in this war. But what do you think he means by that? What can we expect in the now and, of course, the near future in this conflict as Russia intensifies and comes up with new methods to fight this war?

#Larry Johnson

Yeah, it may include striking targets in NATO countries where they are clearly providing material support to Ukraine in terms of weapons and drones in particular. So they could do that. They're going to put more troops into the mix, apply greater pressure all along that line of contact. And it's important to understand that while they're doing this, they're not doing human wave attacks. They continue to engage in a combination of artillery strikes, missile strikes, strikes with glide bombs. Then they'll do assaults. But what they try to do is not only soften up the positions, weaken the positions of the Ukrainians by killing as many as they can before they launch their own attacks in order to minimize their own casualties. So I see much more of that coming, and it's being done. They're able to mobilize and deploy more resources, and at the same time, they're going to make Kiev unlivable, that Zelensky is going to be forced to, by December, abandon Kiev and move the capital to Lvov.

#Danny

You know, Donald Trump—maybe to close, Larry—Donald Trump has about two years left in his administration, the second one now since 2016. And Russia has said that they can wait him out and continue to pressure toward their demands that they have to rightfully end this war with a modicum of justice, and said straight up that there was no real Alaska—what were they saying? It was the—in reference to what happened at the Alaska summit. Anyway, so—they failed.

#Larry Johnson

I mean, Trump failed to fulfill what he had agreed to at the Alaska summit.

#Danny

Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah. So obviously Russia is seemingly doing the same thing, essentially ignoring the Trump administration as a party that is worth negotiating with. So how will Donald Trump then be remembered in terms of foreign policy if it were to end today? Obviously, there's two years left. We don't know what's going to happen. But if it were to end today, how would he be remembered?

#Larry Johnson

It is a complete failure. Someone who endangered America, didn't make America more secure, more powerful. He weakened America, ruined America's image in the world, and contributed on his way to becoming the Herbert Hoover of the 21st century.

#Danny

"Spirit of Anchorage" is what I was looking for, where Russia's Ushakov said, "What's that? We never said that." And that's exactly what you just said, not being remembered as Herbert Hoover. I believe that's exactly what Donald Trump has told people close to him he does not want to be remembered as. And yet here we are. Larry, any final thoughts on the world situation?

#Larry Johnson

No, my friend, I wish it would get better, but the good news for you is, you're always going to have something to talk about for the foreseeable future.

#Danny

Yeah. No, I mean, that's exactly—I agree with you. We hope it gets better. It seems like when the world situation moves in a positive direction, the United States, Israel, and, of course, anyone who follows along with them—Saudi—they try to make the world as worse as possible to protect what they believe they're losing. Right. Nevertheless, we'll have many more conversations to come. Everybody, make sure you follow Sonar 21, Transition Protocol on YouTube, Sonar 21, Larry's blog. Hit that like button before you go. And until next time.