

Iran Forces US Navy RETREAT, Israel DISAPPEARING | Lawrence Wilkerson & Patrick Henningsen

Col. Lawrence Wilkerson and geopolitical analyst Patrick Henningsen discuss confirmed reports that the US Navy has retreated far beyond the blockade line against Iran as Israel experiences a massive crisis no one is talking about that threatens its existence as an ongoing imperial entity. <https://www.youtube.com/@21stCenturyWireTV> Patrick's YouTube <https://patrickhenningsen.substack.com/> LIKE the video and Subscribe for more in-depth geopolitical analysis Leave your thoughts in the comments below! Support the Channel: Patreon: <https://www.patreon.com/dannyhaiphong> SUBSCRIBE ON RUMBLE: Rumble: <https://rumble.com/c/DannyHaiphong> Follow Me on Social Media: Twitter: <https://twitter.com/DannyHaiphong> Telegram: <https://t.me/DannyHaiphong> Support the channel in other ways: <https://www.buymeacoffee.com/dannyhaiphong> Substack: chroniclesofhaiphong.substack.com Cashapp: \$Dhaiphong Venmo: @dannyH2020 Paypal: <https://paypal.me/spiritho> #israel #iranwar #trump

#Danny

Welcome back, everyone. Welcome back to the show. As you can see, I'm joined by Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson and Patrick Henningsen to go over the latest developments. So I actually wanted to begin with the reports today that the U.S. Navy has actually quietly completely withdrawn its warships from the blockade line around Iran after Iran's first test of the Qasem Basir carrier-killer missile earlier this September. The reports are that they are at least 1,200 kilometers away now from the Iranian coast. There you see the U.S. Naval Institute's imagery that they provide showing the distance.

Despite this retreat by the U.S. Navy, you have Saudi Arabia and the UAE, according to the Wall Street Journal, urging Trump to continue the blockade against Iran and keep the pressure going. This is coming directly from Prince Mohammed bin Salman of Saudi Arabia. And this is despite what happened to Yanbu Port and the East-West Pipeline, which is still receiving Yemeni fire. Here is another topic I wanted to discuss with you both, gentlemen. It's the state of Israel—not the state of Israel itself, but the ongoing rejection of Israel. Here is the UN General Assembly. They walked out on Netanyahu's speech in a big way yesterday. This was receiving a lot of coverage. And here are the scenes of delegates from so many countries walking out.

And here's the full list. I believe it was over 50. Yeah, it was 75 countries that walked out of his speech. And some made the comparison with Masoud Pezeshkian, who gave his speech, the Iranian president did, in front of a full room. Also, a very strange development after that: you had part one

of the Israeli delegates taking note of the countries that did not decide to stay during Netanyahu's speech, and almost a veiled threat there. So how about we start with you, Colonel Wilkerson? What do you make, especially of the situation with the Iran war, the U.S. Navy's retreat? And, yeah, you've made the argument that Israel could be disappearing. Wherever you want to start, please do.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Well, I watched that tape earlier of the guy walking around taking notes, and I thought to myself, well, this is Bibi Netanyahu. This is Israel. This is the Zionist version of Israel. This is what they do. They try to intimidate people with pagers and notepads and everything else in between. And what an ostentatious display of venality, pure evil, and stupidity. I'm going around to take your name, Burkina Faso, because I will pay you back. That's the implication. That's the message they're sending. No question they don't have the capacity to pay them all back. But that's what they're doing.

And this is disgusting. And they're doing it at the U.N. General Assembly. Really, in my view, the only part of the U.N. that, a percentage of the time, actually works to do what it is supposed to do. Unlike the Security Council and that gutless son of a gun, Guterres. So that's the first comment I'd like to make about Israel. The second one is, you know, the 81-year history of the United Nations has seen nothing like what occurred this time around. First of all, if my memory serves me, I don't think we've ever had two heads of state who were at war with one another come to the U.N. General Assembly.

Now, there were standoffs, but not war, not actual war. And anybody that says this isn't a war, like J. D. Vance, is just a stupid fool. So you got finally this happening, and you got one of the people being his typical self, but even worse: "Destroy you. I will destroy you." You know, war crime. You just declared it before the U.N. General Assembly, that you're contemplating, and probably are more than contemplating, a war crime, because you've already committed hundreds of them. And then you get the Iranian president up, who details the perfidy, the duplicity, the crimes against the state in a very calm way.

Then, of course, you get Bibi up with his diagrams and his pagers and all the rest of them. It just makes a parody of what was intended in 1945 and the years immediately following to be something to stop 100 million casualties and possible use of nuclear weapons, all the things that World War II portended. We screwed the pooch, but we did some things right. And those things we did right, we have now obviated. We, the United States of America, we have obviated. I dare say that two-thirds of that U.N. Assembly, two-thirds of the world, now hates our guts, or at least can't stand us, doesn't trust us, doesn't want to do anything with us, would like to stay away from us. If our economy weren't still ticking a little bit, they would have nothing to do with us at all. So that's the picture I got when I was in New York.

And then when I went to meet with the Cuban foreign minister, and we sat down, one of the things he said was, "You want another Haiti? You're going to get it. You want another Haiti? You're going to get it, because that's what you're doing to us." And we talked about how the Russian ship, the fuel that the Russian ship brought, only lasted about 10 days, and how they now are trying to mine almost—because that's how tough it is to get out of the ground—this really heavy sulfur fuel oil that they have indigenous to Cuba. And then, with what they have, trying to refine it to usability, and the usability clogs and jams and ruins their equipment. So they're having significant problems. And then you hear today that they're hinting, as you indicated, that they're going to do something there.

I'm sure Rubio will take his eye off Bolsonaro out of prison and Lula gone long enough, you know, to go after Cuba. I asked the foreign minister—I don't think I'm revealing anything here that's untoward—was he aware that the CIA was trying to recruit someone in Cuba that they could make the new Fulgencio Batista? And he just smiled, as probably he should have done. Of course he does. Cuba has one of the best intelligence elements in the world. I once asked one of their head guys, "How come you guys are so good?" And he smiled and said, "We only have one country on which to concentrate." Anyway, standard stuff, but not standard stuff when it comes to the empire. Really beastly stuff when it comes to the empire. While one might expect that by now of Trump, it reached new depravity, new lows.

#Danny

Yeah, Patrick, to you, what does it tell you? I mean, Trump said, "Annihilate Iran." You had Netanyahu blowing up the pagers again. But you have the U.S. Navy also having a really difficult time doing their war blockade operations. And you also have Netanyahu and Israel not looking too great when it comes to world standing. Your thoughts on what happened and what's going on right now? Yeah.

#Patrick Henningsen

Well, firstly, just on the UN General Assembly, it has to be said, and it has been said by many people, but I'm going to say it again, that it's historic. What Donald Trump did was historic, but not in a positive way, and to me, extremely dangerous. And I know people laugh at the UN, and it's a talking shop, and whatever, all the rest of it. But just looking over my shoulder, there's a world map behind me. And if you look closely on that world map, Danny and Larry, I don't need to tell you, there's little lines. Those are called borders. What's to prevent anybody from basically taking territory of another country, declaring undeclared wars of aggression, attacking a country's sovereignty, killing millions of people?

The only thing that we had that sort of somewhat prevented that from happening was the post-World War II international system that was put together for that very reason. So it's not out of the realms of possibility that if there is a rogue, hegemonic actor, some very horrible things—and they've

already happened—but it could get a lot worse. Donald Trump's threat had nuclear ambiguity sprinkled into it. It's very clear that's the message that a lot of people got. And you just pull up the Nuremberg Principles, which is a good exercise everybody should do. That's the founding pillar of the United Nations, was the conclusions of the Nuremberg Principles. And what the Nuremberg Principles led with wasn't Nazism is bad or the Holocaust.

They're talking about crimes against peace. Yes, crimes against humanity, but they're also talking about undeclared wars of aggression being the ultimate crime. That's the front end of that conclusion. And that's the basis of international law. That was codified in 1950, and that's personally, that was ratified by all of our legislatures, all UN member states pretty much. So it's not an opt-in thing or an opt-out thing. This is law. This is domestic U.S. law. And this president is the fascist, is the embodiment of the very fascist that was decried in the Nuremberg trials and the Nuremberg Principles. That's the uncomfortable reality of what Donald Trump did with his great show at the UN General Assembly and was totally outclassed by the Iranian president.

Totally outclassed. And that has not gone unnoticed, I think, globally, with the global community, and historically as well. And I'll say this too, and I've said it multiple times, and it's worth saying again. Donald Trump does not shock me. You know what Trump is. We all know what Trump is. What shocks me is the lack of pushback from the G7 countries, from Mark Carney in Canada, from the British, from the French, from the Democratic Party. I mean, just the War Powers Act was on the table at the U.S. Senate, and it was a 50 to 49 vote. They voted it down. And one has to ask the question, you know, where was Angela Alsobrooks, the Democratic senator from Maryland? She had an urgent family matter. Did you see that? Undisclosed urgent family matter.

Arguably one of the most important votes that you would ever have in your career for the country, to rein in Donald Trump's war powers with regards to Iran. And that would have been a 50-50 tie. She had voted previously against Trump in the war, but she's also received a lot of money from the Israeli lobby over the years. But that would have been a 50-50 split. And you know what that means? That means J.D. Vance comes in to break the tie, and he would be in a real conundrum there, wouldn't he, in the run-up to the 2028 campaign. His brand is that he's a mitigating force to Donald Trump's hawkish nature and all that. Ooh, that would have really been put to the test, wouldn't it? Yeah, but it didn't happen. How convenient. Welcome to politics. So here we are.

#Danny

Yeah, well, Colonel Wilkerson, how much of this, what Patrick just described, how much of it has to do with the kind of desperate place that both Trump and Israel also find themselves in? Patrick mentioned, I think, quite astutely, the way that the world has noticed the outclassing of Trump by Pezeshkian. And we saw that with the various delegates in the United Nations General Assembly. They stayed for Pezeshkian. They didn't walk out. I mean, hell, they say that Iran, the Iranian government, has killed 50,000, 80,000, a million people. But the delegates stayed for him at the UNGA. They didn't stay for Bibi Netanyahu. And of course, Iran is saying that they have caused the

United States to disperse and to have now a much more minimal presence in this war against it. How much of this do you believe has to do with Trump's increasing belligerence rhetorically?

#Lawrence Wilkerson

I think there's a lot to what you just said in terms of Trump trying to win by his mouth and by his threats and so forth, because he can't win on the ground or in the air. And back to your carrier question, I don't know exactly where you got your information, but my information comes from tracking naval ships through the Naval War College device to do so. No carrier, no U.S. carrier, has dared to venture within roughly 750 to 800 nautical miles of Bandar Abbas and Chabahar or the Strait of Hormuz now, because they're very afraid of things like the carrier breaker and other missiles that could sink a carrier really quickly. So whoever told you they were closer than that is smoking something, I think.

Second, I think we're looking at a president who has lost the war he started on 28 February and is increasingly becoming aware of the fact that, other than declaring victory and leaving, he has no other alternative. So he is trying to use his mouth—not saying that he won't follow through on some of these more venal and vile threats—but he's trying to use his mouth to bring things about. Further, I'm told, and again, on pretty good authority, that there has been no direct talk whatsoever. He keeps insinuating there are direct talks. No, even the most recent ones, they were in one room and the others were in another, and people ran back and forth between them. So there aren't any direct talks going on.

And what I've heard from IRGC leadership, there aren't going to be any. They don't want to even talk to Trump because they don't think he's trustworthy. They don't think he's dependable, and they've been burned too many times before. So I go back again to that Haaretz headline. I think it was 1 March, right after the war started. And they said, all Iran has to do is not lose, and all Israel and the United States have to do is achieve a spectacular victory. Well, there's no spectacular victory in sight. I think that headline was right. It summed it up rather well. There's no victory in sight. And the person I'm more worried about than I am Donald Trump—I'm not discounting the fact he would do something stupid, really stupid.

But the person I'm worried about is maybe Netanyahu. And I think what we saw at the UN was a sign of his desperation. A guy who will get up there and talk about pagers going out and blowing up people as if it were a positive achievement has got some noodle loose somewhere in his brain. And that's what we're dealing with. And I think increasingly that if he thinks he's not going to destroy this regime, that Donald Trump maybe will back out sufficiently enough to compromise his ability to do that, that he's going to use a nuclear weapon or two or three and those diesel submarines in that regard. Isn't this an irony? I'm told that the best part of the case against Bibi Netanyahu are the bribes he accepted from the Germans to buy that submarine class.

I don't know that that's true, but I'm told it by people from Tel Aviv and Jerusalem, so I think they probably know what they're talking about. So he's going to use these submarines to launch nuclear missiles at Iran because that's the only effective way he could do it without them preempting him and probably doing far more damage to Israel than he does to Iran. They will ultimately anyway, if he uses nuclear weapons. You're not going to see diesel submarines firing Tomahawk-like missiles off their platform decks in the night or whatever with a five, maybe eight, ten KT nuclear warhead on them. You're not going to see them doing much but making the rubble bounce. Now, if they shoot civilian cities and things like that, Isfahan, Tehran, and other cities, then that's terrible. But it is not going to be decisive.

And guess what's going to pour on Israel? Just two or three of those Kheibar Shekans with nuclear warheads on them, if they've got them. Even traditional warheads, if they send enough of them, will virtually wipe Israel out. At least Haifa, Tel Aviv, maybe even some other places will be eliminated. You have problems right now in both Haifa and Tel Aviv from the destruction that's already been caused. And I think the wrath of God has been demonstrated by the fact that the bodies in Gaza, which I think now are over 200,000, buried under that rubble, most of them, in pieces all over the place, are polluting the groundwater to the extent that now it's getting into Israel and getting into kitchen taps and things like that. That's God intervening, if ever I heard of it. You people, I'm going to get you.

#Danny

Patrick, what was your reaction, especially to Netanyahu's performance and the UN rejection of him? I mean, this is the second straight time this has happened. And, you know, Netanyahu did the typical bluster, but it did feel desperate in a way. What's your reaction to this and anything Larry said?

#Patrick Henningsen

Just to add to Larry on the biblical punishment of Israel there, a pestilence, a rat epidemic there, has also infested Israel that started in Gaza because of the horrible situation there. And that's now upset everybody in the kibbutzes north. They don't know what to do and how this ever happened. But anyway, just to add to that. But I don't know if you have the clip, Danny, but it is really one of the most glorious clips in history to watch Benjamin Netanyahu come out into the General Assembly and then watch everybody getting out and leaving. And then up in the gallery, Alan Dershowitz and everybody shouting, "Hi, I'm Israel," to make it sound like he's got a cheering section. And then he always starts attacking the people, saying, "You're a bunch of moral cowards."

Go on and leave. Go on. Anyone else who wants to leave, leave now. Leave now. I mean, it was just classic. It couldn't have happened to a better despot. It's a circus show. This is a wanted war criminal. That's what he is. He's addressing the UN, which is an incredible thing in itself, but he

really embarrassed himself. And he's down in the gutter, really, in political terms there. That's about as low as you can go in terms of a career. I mean, this is a person—it would be a problem if he had any shame left, but as we know, that's fortunately a luxury he doesn't possess. But I was thoroughly entertained by this, Danny. I thought it was a great look for him and for Israel. It was fantastic, actually. Very fitting bookend to Donald Trump's performance, I think.

#Danny

Yeah, that's for sure.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

He creates the poison, and then he imbibes it. He grows on it. Satan incarnate.

#Danny

Yeah, yeah. Colonel Wilkerson, maybe you can talk about Israel, and you too, Patrick, and their role in all of this, and their situation as the, you know, Zionist entity that, at one point, looked like, I think many, when they saw the terror of what happened and is happening to Gaza, Lebanon, et cetera, invincible, almost in some ways because of that U.S. protection. But this kind of reception, as well as Netanyahu's own behavior on the world stage, seems to point to something different. Colonel Wilkerson, what's your thoughts on this?

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Well, I think his statement with great braggadocio that he was a leader in charge of seven separate fronts, all of which he was winning in terms of the combat there, is indicative of his peril as well as any success that he was trying to evince there. And there's little success there other than bloody killing of innocent civilians, women, children, old men, and everybody else. So he's beleaguered and besieged in many respects, and it wouldn't take that much to capitalize on that situation and destroy him. And it could come from any place at any time, were it to be even roughly coordinated. Even if Egypt and Jordan and King Abdullah has finally come out of his cave and said some positive things, and Iraq, even if they and, say, al-Ansar or al-Sahara, if they were to engage in combat on Israel and dare him to say that again about seven fronts simultaneously and winning all of them, because it's not true, of course.

He's not winning in Syria. He's at a stalemate at best in Syria, and he's frustrated by that. He's at a stalemate in Lebanon to a certain extent. He dare not go too much further north because then he might get other people interested in his presence there than he is able to handle. Although I don't give much credit to Erdogan anymore. He's a pusillanimous little dude who sits around and talks big and then keeps shipping oil through Ceyhan into Israel. But there are countries around there who have the wherewithal, were they to make the decision to do so. And they would probably have, at

least initially, overwhelming support from their people. Even Sisi in Egypt would probably have overwhelming support.

I'm fairly sure the government in Baghdad would have overwhelming support. Might not get the Peshmerga and the northern Kurds interested unless they saw a sign that success was going to be achieved, and in the wake of that success, they could consolidate some of their own desires. But it's dangerous. It's a very dangerous place. And Bibi, by his recalcitrance, his stubbornness, his evil, is just making it more and more dangerous. And I go back to the other proposition, too. I think when he says in Hebrew to his gang of cutthroats around him, Smotrich, Ben-Gvir, and even Katz, when he gets them around and he talks about they're going to get the surprise of their life, he isn't going to deliver that conventionally.

#Danny

Hmm.

#Patrick Henningsen

Yeah, Patrick, to you. Yeah, I mean, it's the Samson option, the horrific idea of that. It's beyond. But just based on the UN statement, and yes, he made a show of himself there. He said some quite extraordinary things, like, "The Golan Heights, it's ours. Our people have been there since the time of Moses." You know, actually, even if that were true, they haven't been there continuously. I think the Bedouins probably have a better claim. But the fact that he has to go out and sell Zionism now, he is forced to go out as a carnival barker for Zionism. In a way, it's a type of public humiliation for him, but it really exposes the whole concept of it, that you're basing your sort of land acquisition and colonial largesse on a couple of lines in the Bible.

In the Old Testament, they've never had to really be out front defending this so publicly in their history. And now I think they're at the end of their rope, certainly. And people are realizing what a fraud the whole thing is. And he just did the photo op at Mount Hermon, I think, a couple of days ago. That's a big statement. You know, at the moment, technically, Israel's played it pretty smart the last four months. They've stayed out of the firing line with Iran. The United States is trading blows with Iran. And now it looks like the U.S. or somebody has coerced probably the Israeli lobby in these various countries to pull in European powers to help Saudi Arabia with Yemen. I mean, Trump and the U.S. have been trying to do that for, you know, the better part of a year.

With the Strait of Hormuz, not so much luck, and now they're managing to do that in the Red Sea, it seems. But again, that suits Israel down to the ground. The more you can pull in these European countries, A, it gets their hands dirty. It diffuses the focus. It's good for America because, of course, they want European targets in the Strait of Hormuz or in Yemen. Because the minute one of these French naval assets—or they even got the Greeks involved regarding the air defense now—and so if they lose any men, or anything gets hit, or a drone or a plane gets downed, or a U.K. refueler or

something, something happens to that, all of a sudden you've got buy-in with this conflict, deeper buy-in from these European partners.

And that just keeps diffusing focus away. And Israel has been able to basically make a lot of progress in achieving their core objectives in terms of the genocide in Gaza, the ethnic cleansing of Gaza, the pogroms in the West Bank, the stealing of land in South Lebanon, killing Lebanese, and, of course, outright theft of the Golan Heights and Mount Hermon in Syria. And not only that, look at them, 19 kilometers from Damascus. Let me ask you a question: if Bibi wants to do an Ariel Sharon and roll into the old city of Damascus for a cup of mint tea, who's going to stop him? Do you think this al-Qaeda leader in Damascus, Donald Trump's bestie, al-Sharaa, do you think he's going to fire even a shot if Israel decides to do that?

And let me tell you, they could do it tomorrow, and I would bet zero resistance. Zero resistance. I don't know if that's going to happen anytime soon, but certainly that's within their capability to do that. That would be a massive win for Netanyahu and for Israel, full stop. It's a huge symbolic victory over the Islamic world and over the intellectual center of the Arabic world. And, I mean, they can do it. They can't do it to Beirut, but they can do it to Damascus right now. That's a pretty extraordinary thing. So that's kind of there in the background. I just wanted to point that out.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

The only problem with that that I see, as a military professional who's studied that highway into Damascus for a long time, it's not a very long highway. Yeah, they could get there, but staying there would be the problem, because everybody in the region would gang up on them, and they would have guerrilla warfare out the yin-yang. They think Hamas is bad or Hezbollah is bad. They would have everybody against them. I almost want to say I don't wish more pain on the Syrians, that they would try. It would be very difficult. And same for the Europeans coming in. You know, the entire European military complex would be lucky, fortunate, if it could field a single army division, and fortunate if it could field an air wing.

The British would be fortunate if their carrier made it out of port. The French would be fortunate if planes took off their carrier and actually made it to the target and dropped their ordnance. So this is just a mess. And the problem is not necessarily Mohammed bin Salman in Saudi Arabia, which I think is doomed. And I say good riddance. The problem is the Red Sea and what passes through the Red Sea. And that is causing problems as far away as the Panama Canal, where the Koreans, for example, in order to buy a slot—yes, a slot—to get in line to go through the Panama Canal, pay a \$4 million surcharge. You can't keep doing that.

And the repercussions of the straits, the Bab el-Mandeb and the Strait of Hormuz, are significant for the Suez Canal, for the Strait of Gibraltar even, because the traffic has picked up there trying to go around instead of going through Suez, and for the Panama Canal. I'm sure, very sure, that one of the conversations of most interest for Xi Jinping is going to be about the Panama Canal, because a

lot of those ships standing off the Panama Canal, the way they are standing off the Strait of Hormuz right now, with no prospect of getting through anytime soon, and even if they have a prospect, having to pay a huge fee to go through, is going to be Xi Jinping saying, you know, you can't keep doing this, dude.

You're going to spoil the entire world's commerce, and it's going to come back on you. Talked to one of my California friends this morning. Sent me a picture of the diesel pump outside the area where he lives: \$9.60. And it's going to go higher. And across the country now, it's probably averaging somewhere between \$5.60 and \$6.20. That diesel is going to cause a \$1,000 or better surcharge to be placed on every transshipment north, south, east, and west by independent truckers, who are 52% of the American truck fleet. That's going to be enormously expensive. I was in a coffee shop this morning, very successful shop, brilliantly run by a French chef who came over here and opened a brand new kind of coffee.

I don't know what to call it. It's not a patisserie or a boulangerie or anything like that, but it's an all-around great place to go, and it's been so successful that they can hardly keep up with their profits. Now he sat down at the table and talked to me and said his margins are getting razor-thin because the price of coffee is off the charts. The price of wheat is off the charts. The price of the kind of flour he uses is off the charts. This is all going to come home to roost at our doorstep here very shortly, and Americans will understand what affordability really means. But Trump is responsible for all of this.

#Danny

Hmm. Yeah, well, Patrick, we also have, as Carl was alluding to, we have a deep economic crisis as well being—I shouldn't say being brewed, but brewing and getting worse. Oil prices keep going up, of course, the diesel situation, and there's 82% of the country already in recession, according to Federal Reserve data on local economies across the country. Patrick, what role does the—you know, you mentioned Israel with the potential to just make things really difficult in the region, still Syria and elsewhere. But it seems like the more that war bubbles up and escalates in West Asia, the worse things get globally. How much of this do you factor into what's going on right now?

#Patrick Henningsen

Well, I guess a good canary in the coal mine, of course, is always going to be bond yields. And so I'm not a financial planner, but all of the financial analysts that I look at every day are basically saying the bond market's screaming. And the various metrics that people would use, like, in other words, where is capital going? It's not clear. Gold's not rallying. Bitcoin's not necessarily rallying. Bond yields, long-term yields, are back up again, screaming. These are all really bad signals. It means that people are hoarding their money in cash because they're uncertain. That type of

uncertainty is a prelude to war. And so I'm hearing a lot of that from the people who watch the markets and some of these top pundits in this area. And I think we can all agree that's definitely a danger.

I mean, I'm sitting in Europe, and it's a total war fever. You know, it's reached insane levels. Every day there's another Russian threat, a manufactured Russian threat story or a Russian drone plot or something. They're running a fake one about Russian merchant ships loitering in the Mediterranean, sending swarms of drones to terrorize the Italians and the Spanish. I mean, those are two countries that have decent relations with Russia. Why on earth would Russia do such a thing? Why would they need to? And it turned out it was from the Lithuanian intelligence and leaked into the mainstream press across Europe, citing John Ratcliffe, CIA, as a source. It turns out there's no such CIA report. The whole thing was fake. And every day there's one of these coming out.

And we're told, "Prepare for war." The BBC: "Get ready for war. Are you ready for war? Do you know what's expected of you in a time of war?" All this applied behavioral psychology is getting pumped out. Literally, exactly the chapter and verse of George Orwell's 1984. It's pretty incredible. So, yeah, we have a real economic catastrophe in Europe today, and what Larry described in America as well, an economy that's largely reliant on diesel. And, you know, they're talking about export bans, you know, embargoes on exports of diesel coming out of the U.S. Well, we'll see if that happens, because there's some huge ramifications to that if that is a policy. That doesn't mean gas prices are going to go down in America. It means just the opposite. So you've got that to contend with as well.

The solution, of course, is to negotiate for peaceful settlements, cooperation in the spirit of goodwill and brotherly love, diplomacy. Like, where is all this? That, to me, is the cheapest, most direct and efficient solution to all these problems. And that's why I'm hugely confused and worried that, because everybody has abandoned common sense, there seems to be this inevitability of war prior to World War I. That's what we keep hearing. And that disturbs me greatly because I was raised in history class, since I was 13, as far as I can remember, to avoid entangling alliances and don't accept the inevitability of a great war. Those were the two great lessons of history, and we seem to have discarded those quite obviously. So, you know, what have we learned in 80 years? This is the big question. That's the terrifying question. Hmm.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

And you can lay, Danny, you can lay some of this fervor in Europe at our feet because I was around when we were reaching the peak of the campaign we were running through CIA to essentially weaponize liberal democracy on the one hand, while we bought newspaper editors, university professors, Jens Stoltenberg, followed by Mark Rutte. We bought all manner of influential parliamentarians and others in countries that were historically neutral or only entertained, as Norway

did, some Marines in the north and so forth, some POMCUS stocks, but weren't members of NATO, not full-blooded members of NATO. Now, this is coming to fruition in a way that our psychological warfare people are just cheering over. And yet, as Patrick just pointed out, this is insanity.

Why did we do this? Why did we take Russophobia and weaponize it and use it so successfully? And of course, it's not just in the standard Western European countries. It's also in places like Georgia, who beat us off barely and now are being attacked again by CIA to try and get the party that beat us off out of power. Moldova, Azerbaijan, Armenia. We're in all these countries. And generally speaking, right beside us is Mossad and SIS, now called MI6. So we are responsible for a lot of this. And guess who funded CIA doing a lot of this stuff? Just take one guess and come up with RTX, formerly Raytheon, Lockheed Martin, Grumman, Boeing, and others who wanted desperately to sell things in a major expanded NATO, and are now.

Have you looked at their salaries of late? If you look at Nucor Steel, or you look at any other commercial entity—General Motors, Ford, whatever—in America, Lockheed Martin, the big six, the big seven, their CEOs get one and a half to two and a half times more than any of these CEOs that actually add to the prosperity of America. They're not going to give that up. They go to shareholder meetings and tell their stockholders. They tell them war is here, and it's going to stay, and it's good, because they're making such huge profits off this stuff. And now we've dragged the few that are in Europe that still do this sort of thing, most of them allied with us, into the same deal, especially in Germany.

So when Merz comes over from BlackRock and says Germany's fine, he means, you know, his complex is fine. They're making tons of money, and they love this war. And Patrick's right. We'll have more of it as long as it makes so much money for these billionaires and others like them. Have you seen the homes these CEOs and COOs of these military contractors live in? I didn't say home, not the home, the single home. There's one in Switzerland, there's one in Florida, and there's one somewhere in Northern Virginia. And they're, you know, they're palatial. That's what we've done. We've created a military-industrial complex that Eisenhower would be shocked at. He'd be absolutely shocked that his predictions had come true so enormously.

#Patrick Henningsen

And it's not regional either. It's transnational. They're fully integrated through equity, hedge funds, ownership, BlackRock. So you can't say that the industry is fully separate from the American defense industry. Obviously, there's differences there, but you're looking at one giant kind of Borg.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

War machine.

#Patrick Henningsen

It's a war machine. And they've all got their little apps, Larry, on Capitol Hill with their stock portfolios. They're on it like every five minutes, looking at how much money they're making. This—every hour, whatever the trades are scheduled or whatever. That's all these people care about, because otherwise we would see some genuine pushback along perhaps ideological lines or, you know, traditional partisan lines. But no, everybody's on board with that. That's why you don't see pushback from Trump having defiled the principles of the U.N. Charter and, you know, flirting with a third world war, because they're all on board with it. It's profitable.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

It's profitable.

#Patrick Henningsen

And then in Europe, it seems to me that playing chicken with the Russian Federation is not really a winning gambit. But the goal seems to be full mobilization of society, a whole-of-society approach, full mobilization, reconfiguring budgets, obviously bigger budget deficits, austerity policies regarding the people, conscription, and getting people motivated for the militarization of society. This is literally all Europe has left. And meanwhile, the U.S. has an effective import monopoly for energy in Europe right now. They're the number one importers, the United States. So they're not necessarily going to want to change any of this.

They're trying to broker a deal to allow Russian gas to come in, or the U.S. is going to buy the Nord Stream pipeline at a favorable rate. This is what Trump's working on right now. So the only sort of silver lining to any of this right now is that while all this is happening in the minds of technocrats and bureaucrats, they're all delusional. They think Russia's lost a million soldiers in Ukraine and that Russia's getting their arse handed to them by Zelensky, which is completely phantasmal. But meanwhile, it's collapsing on the Ukrainian side. And there are partisan networks now, you know, taking out railway trains with military supplies coming from West Ukraine into Odessa.

There's an actual pro-Russian partisan underground resistance. There's even, in Western Ukraine, anti-Zelensky Ukrainian nationalist partisans who are allying with the Russian partisans because they all realize that if they don't get rid of Zelensky, the whole country is finished. So there's a little silver lining there. While all this is happening on the periphery with Europe and everyone's making their money, they're losing at the core of their proxy war effort. And a result couldn't come quick enough regarding Ukraine. So that's the only thing I saw that's a little bit positive in all this.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

The other thing they're doing, Patrick, you probably know, but there are at least two sources I have told me this. They are finally getting the Bandera boys to go to the front lines. And they're being killed. They're killing Russians. There's no question about it. There's no question about their courage

and their professional approach to war. But they're Nazis, and they didn't want to go to the front lines. And they're being put on the front lines now, and they're dying.

#Patrick Henningsen

Those are the elite ultra-nationalists that were protecting the core around Zelensky. So if what you say is true, Larry, this is the end of the line.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

I'm waiting for him to get in his Bugatti or whatever and try to escape. I think he's probably going to be taken out. That's my view.

#Patrick Henningsen

The final season of The Servant of the People. This is the final episode, potentially.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

And the guy who flies drones at commercial airliners as they fly over Russia. Yeah.

#Danny

What do you make of, given what you both just outlined with regard to the Ukraine conflict, France is saying that they are going to potentially deploy forces to the Bab al-Mandeb Strait, to Yemen, to help out. And we've also seen, I'm sure you saw this, Patrick, too, the UK getting more involved, revving up their engines around possibly helping Saudi countries. What do you guys make of this? Patrick, you can comment first, and then Colonel Wilkerson on this.

#Patrick Henningsen

Well, the British have a little problem with some of their—Larry will be aware of this—some of their great naval assets can't work in warm water. A little bit of an issue there for projecting power globally. And yeah, new aircraft carrier, no aircraft on it. But it looks good. It's sitting out here some days in Plymouth Harbor, so I do get a good look at it. But yeah, how many aircraft can Britain field? Apparently they have some tankers that they can use to help Saudi with air-to-air refueling. Not much there.

But they all have to get involved because this is their only opportunity to get any combat readiness. So they'll take whatever they can get, even if it's a minor cameo role in the field. It's absolutely certain. And they're all lobbying back at home for bigger defense budgets. So they have to get involved any way they can. And they'll make a meal out of it in the press like it's bigger than it actually is. But that's how the game—this is how the game works, unfortunately. And it's kind of

always been like that. But now it's getting quite noticeable how over the top all this is. So it's incredible.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Do you remember, Danny, a few months ago we talked about how—and many people were disbelieving, I think, even in your audience—this could go global? These regional conflicts could go global. Well, Patrick just described how it could happen. And it could happen faster than greased lightning if it gets really heated and takes off. And then we have the whole—look at what we're doing up in the Arctic right now, for example. We've got submarines up there trying to challenge, in the face of Russia having granted China privilege to use what is going to become a Strait of Hormuz, about 53% of the Arctic Passage, which passes very close to Russian territory.

And we're angry as hell that Russia let—you know, when I say we, the Pentagon in particular—that they let China up there, and they've become a partner now. That is going to become the Maritime Belt Road Initiative, if you will. And it's going to be successful immediately. And boy, the Strait of Hormuz and the Panama Canal are setting some dangerous precedents for what they might do for passage. And if you look at a map and you look at that passage, you can see that you save enough money to make that passage, particularly if you can do it 12 months a year, ice-free, don't need any polar icebreakers or anything to accompany you, then you're going to make a lot of money.

The Russians and Chinese could charge a 3% or 2% tariff for passage, and they'll get lots of money out of that because lots of ships will go that way. Not to mention the pollution and everything else that's going to happen from that. But there's a whole new world of money, and there are people who know it and people who are trying to do something about it being born out of this. And a lot of them are in America, because we don't like what's happening. We're really not in a good position vis-à-vis China, and certainly not vis-à-vis China, Russia, and Iran in a triple entente, if you will, opposed to us. And Xi is here to say he's not going to do that, but we're giving him no choice. That's what worries me.

#Patrick Henningsen

We're giving him no choice. You know, what's interesting about that, Danny and Larry, is what Larry's describing: the arming of the Arctic, as it were. That is the new geopolitical front line, the new choke point that everybody's going to be focusing on. But all of this—did you see all this play-acting the last year and a half with the Trump administration, the national security statements and all of this stuff, the new strategy? And, you know, Europe needs—we're tired of Europe ripping us off, and they need to defend themselves, and J.D. Vance. This is all getting a bit long in the tooth, this European thing.

No, where's the U.S. spending its money? Forty-two new bases in Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark, right, the entirety of Scandinavia. Poland. Poland as well. Don't listen to this president.

Anything they say, watch what they do. Watch what they say a lot. They tweet a lot. They say a lot. They blow a lot of hot air. Watch what they do. Watch where we're spending the money. And it's expanding. It's expanding. It's a bigger footprint than even the Persian Gulf was, which is no more. But that's where we're developing our military infrastructure and all this other stuff. All sovereign territory, by the way, all U.S. sovereign territory carved out of all those countries.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

And these forward bases are really stupid. Everything that's happening in the world right now in the way of warfare and the development thereof is counter to this. All they are is targets. All they are is targets against you, the base person, and the host country. That should be very clear to people in the world right now.

#Danny

Yeah, especially with what's happened in the Ukraine conflict. Absolutely. War in Iran. It's like, look at what Russia does. Look at what Russia has.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Look at what happened to Bahrain, Qatar, Kuwait, UAE. I mean, they're virtually destroyed.

#Danny

Yeah. And Russia's missiles are, I mean, state of the art.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Well, don't discount Iran's. These are some of the best missiles on the face of the Earth right now.

#Patrick Henningsen

And some of them are indigenous.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Some of them they developed themselves. I know they got a lot of help from the North Koreans early on. But I think a lot of this is their own ingenuity, as is the case with Ansar Allah. Yeah, it's their own ingenuity. Got a lot of it off the internet. It's shocking.

#Patrick Henningsen

Who would have thought? Who would have thought?

#Danny

You know, Patrick, I also see this, like, this churning of, like, cringe. The Trump administration has this way of churning out cringe material all of the time. The whole summit with Xi Jinping, we had, like, cringe moment after cringe moment after— But not only that, but the White House X account, Truth Social, just this churning out. You said, watch what they do. I look at the world landscape and everything that you two just described, and I'm really not seeing a trajectory shift here. I'm really seeing full speed ahead, empire in decline, desperate. Let's blow up anything, anyone we can that gets in the way, and make money doing it.

#Patrick Henningsen

Yeah, and make a lot of money doing it.

#Danny

Especially Witkoff and Kushner. They're going to make a fortune doing it. Exactly. Patrick, what do you think? And then final words to you, Colonel Ferguson.

#Patrick Henningsen

I was asked—I mean, Larry's probably been asked this on a number of podcasts this week—but I was asked earlier in the week, what do you expect is going to happen with Trump and Xi's big meeting? Because that's a big-state meeting, right? These are two of the world's preeminent superpowers. And, of course, my automatic knee-jerk reaction is, nothing, because nothing can happen between these two with regards to the U.S. So what I said, you're going to get photo ops and some big symbols of power and showing off and whatnot, and you get the normal, you know, tech mogul black-tie dinner. That's standard, exactly like before. So nothing's changed. It's like a routine. What are you going to get in terms of trade deals, in terms of tariff deals, or anything like that? They really can't do anything.

China just has to go through the motions and hope that this administration cycles out of office without too much damage. That's, I think, the way the Chinese are looking at it. Strategically, it's a lot about just keeping face and not having too much trouble. They're very patient. But this is an administration that's completely unable, completely unable to do any kind of serious agreement with any other country, any kind of serious negotiations where there's, you know, planning and follow-through and planning for contingencies and dispute resolution mechanisms and things like that. They're not capable of doing any of this, nothing. And so they're enamored with the smash-and-grab model of Venezuela, and they're talking about—we just saw the reports the last two days—thinking about, some Washington sources saying Trump's thinking about invading Cuba.

And people are cheering this on, a lot of people in America, like this was a great business achievement, you know, kidnapping the head of state in Venezuela and stealing the country's sovereign bounty. That's a great achievement. I was raised to say that was a very un-American thing. It's like, how can that be a source of any national pride for anybody? If it is, that just shows you the level of depravity right now in some quarters of our politics that's pretty beyond the pale.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

The British Empire comes out looking good, actually, compared to us.

#Danny

I heard yesterday.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

No, it was in New York I heard it, that one of the things Trump wants to do is sell China oil. And then I heard that the Republican leadership in Congress, particularly a few guys that you would know the names of, are livid with him for wanting to sell oil to China. I mean, they're looking at it from a security point of view and so forth. I wonder how long that would last if he even achieved anything like that. And plus, China is pretty flush right now. Not that they don't always try to find other sources. And I think Xi would probably say, okay, let's sign a contract or something. But I'm not sure that that's going to wash with his own political party, because these people, Thune included, are furious that he would make a deal with China to sell them oil.

#Danny

Yeah, and at the prices, the going rate of U.S. crude, too. Well, he'd probably give them a deal. Exactly.

#Patrick Henningsen

You'd have to give China a hefty deal.

#Danny

I just want to bring this up before we head out. You know, all this talk about a military intervention against Cuba. Two days before the Iran war started, there was a group of armed mercenaries that attempted to reach the Cuban border, or the shores of Cuba, and they were essentially wiped out by the border guards. So this idea of a commando raid or some kind of naval, you know, incursion.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

This is the usual gang in Florida. This is a periodic happening, I suspect, anyway. That's what it is. And I tell you, one of the most professional militaries in the Caribbean—the most professional military in the Caribbean—is the Cuban military. And we recognize that. In fact, I talked to the foreign minister about the MOU. I said, "Is the Mona Passage, the Windward Passage, the illicit traffic and such, is it still being handled really well by the Cuban military and Navy? And are we still working with them in that regard?" "Oh, yeah, they're our best partner." I mean, this is the Cuban foreign minister.

He didn't have any kind words to say about anybody else. But he said, yeah, the immigration MOU and this MOU on illicit traffic through the waters of Cuba or nearby cooperation is good. I've had the defense guy down there tell me to my face that he'd rather work with the Cubans than the Mexicans, that they're more professional and they do their job and they're honest. And when they have something, they give it to them. And when we have something, we give it to them. So that little aspect of our relations is still pretty solid.

#Danny

Yeah, and Cuba's fought elsewhere as well.

#Patrick Henningsen

The thing about this story with Cuba, though, while it might play well to the ultra-conservative base and to the Cuban exile community in South Florida and all the rest of it, and Marco Rubio holds court with, you still need to have a kind of a moral basis. And calling it an evil communist regime, it just—there's no traction with that. They've already starved out the country. They've embargoed it. People are dying now. Infant mortality is way up. Hospitals don't have fuel to run water pumps. And the rubbish is piling up in the street because they can't afford to go around and collect it.

And the list goes on and on. People are going to die. Some people have already died as a result of the U.S. blockade. And then they want to go in with the U.S. military. I really think this would be... The optics of this globally is just... This would be the last nail in Trump's coffin. I really believe that. And Cuba is the weakest, easiest target of all. But yet, yet, it would be—I think the blowback, I think, is going to be... I hope it doesn't happen for the Cubans' sake, but then we still need to resolve their situation. But this could backfire in so many different ways for the U.S.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

It could backfire in terms of going to the mountains and fighting us tooth and nail, too. I mean, they've proven they have the capacity to do that.

#Danny

Yeah.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

First thing that would probably disappear would be Guantanamo.

#Patrick Henningsen

But just think of the Global South. The image of the U.S. torturing, blockading, and then invading a weak country like Cuba next door to the U.S. would reverberate in history for the Global South and for socialist movements in Venezuela. I mean, you would see it flare up very quickly in Venezuela.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

No worries. Rubio's down there in Buenos Aires getting all the Nazis together to unseat Lula and replace him with—what's his name in jail? Oh, Bolsonaro. Yeah, Bolsonaro.

#Patrick Henningsen

Oh, so Viceroy Marco Rubio has got another assignment.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

Yeah, he wants another country to run.

#Patrick Henningsen

He's going to have to learn Portuguese then. Yeah. He's going to have to learn another language.

#Danny

I mean, the Global South loves Cuba. I've got to run, Danny. Yeah, no problem, no problem.

#Lawrence Wilkerson

I'm late for a very important date. Thanks so much. Bye-bye. I'll be in touch. Take care. See you next week.

#Danny

Take care, Patrick. Bye-bye. Well, I was just going to say, Patrick, the Global South loves Cuba. I mean, the healthcare, the doctors, the aid—they've literally fought elsewhere. They fought, you know, the earthquake, Angola. In Angola in the '80s and '90s, you know, Zambia, right? Like against

apartheid. I mean, it would be—and then you talk about Latin America. Yeah, all those—it is the focal point of independence in that part of the world. Cuba helped to defeat apartheid.

#Patrick Henningsen

Castro. They went a long way to weakening the regime in South Africa. I mean, that's historical fact. A lot of people don't talk about that, but it's significant. So, yeah, I mean, just symbolically, even if Cuba put up a fight—and not to say nothing about the image of dead U.S. servicemen in the jungles or the mountains of Cuba. I mean, I'm telling you, this would be devastating historically for Republicans, for MAGA, for Trump, for America and their image in the world. It would. And, you know, the irony of that, Danny, is it just seems like the easiest one to bully, doesn't it? That's what they—yeah, like, it's close. Cuba's close. It doesn't have a souped-up military like the United States. They're probably licking their chops, even though there's a reason why.

#Danny

I mean, the U.S. has had this military for decades. Why hasn't the U.S. military done it yet?

#Patrick Henningsen

Right. It's been long, and they've been under sanctions for, what, 75 years? Yeah. But the last point of this, which is really, really, I mean, sad and quite pathetic, is that all of the people that are cheerleading this—wealthy Cubans in Florida in exile—and they believe that their great-grandparents or grandparents were hard done by because of the communist revolution, the Marxist revolution in Cuba with Castro. And with all of that said, these are people that are cheering the suffering of Cuban people. Okay.

They think this is worth it, even though they weren't born in Cuba. You know, people like Marco Rubio, they're not—they were not born in Cuba. They hear stories about Cuba. But also, I have to point out something that's very uncomfortable, Danny. And at the risk of not sounding like an absolute leftist anti-imperialist in a clichéd sense—I'm saying in a clichéd sense—do you notice the Cuban Americans in exile that are cheering on the starvation and collective punishment of their former country, and look at the skin tone of them versus the Cubans in Cuba?

There's a big difference there, isn't there, along the spectrum? And there is a racial component to it, I'm sorry to say, and that's one of the reasons why there was a revolution in the first place. It's not rocket science. It's history. Nobody wants to confront this or admit it, but that was a big, big part of the Cuban Revolution, was the fact that there was a two-tiered society in Cuba before that. And so I'm sorry to point this out to people that might not want to hear that. I think a lot of people that watch your show will understand this perfectly. But there's always people who don't watch your show who might be chiming in here, Danny, that might be a little upset and shocked by...

#Danny

No way, right? How could it be? I mean, it's only like the whole entire oligarchy all across Latin America happen to be some of the whitest people you'll ever meet. And the fact that when something does happen—Cuba, Venezuela—we can go all across the continent in South America too, they make it racial. I mean, the ways in which the so-called opposition in these countries talk about Cubans too, like even Venezuelan oligarchs talk about Cubans, how... You know, they talk about them as—you know, I can't even say some of the things, the way they talk about them, because it will be portrayed as me being prejudiced—but they talk about them as animals. They talk about them as, you know, dirt that needs to be extinguished, right? This is just how it is. This is how, you know, and that lays at the feet, of course, of Europe and the United States backing these type of people for...

#Patrick Henningsen

Well, it's not unlike, Danny, the way Iranian royalists regard Muslims in Iran and are quite happy to see them starve and are under siege, killed, under sanctions forever. And the fact that during the time of the Shah, literacy rates amongst women were something ridiculous, like 80% illiterate. And now, well, within 15 or 20 years, it reversed completely. Now you have the highest educated female population in West Asia, and I would argue on par with a lot of other places in the, quote, developed world. But that's one of the reasons why there was an Islamic revolution, because of things like that: two-tiered societies, discrimination, favored classes. Why was there a civil war in Ukraine in 2014? Yeah.

Did it have anything to do with the fact that they tried to basically treat Russian speakers on a lower tier of society, making their language illegal, discriminating against them, shutting them out of representation in the Rada? Did it have anything to do with that? I think it kind of did, obviously, but we're not allowed to talk about that in Europe. Those are the conditions that led to the war in 2022, eight-year civil war, based on this exact same thing: discrimination, sectarianism, divide and conquer. So, I mean, we're pointing out these things that are reappearing patterns in a lot of these instability situations that lead to the conflagrations.

#Danny

Yeah, just got to say, anybody who doesn't believe in what Patrick and I are saying, travel to Miami, travel to D.C., talk to some Cuban exiles and/or Venezuelan so-called exiles, and see what their worldview is about—not just their countries, but the world. And you will see that they sound far more like what Patrick says than anything else. Not stewards of freedom, but truly stewards of some of the most demonic prejudice and anti-dehumanization you could think of. And this is the friends—remember Netanyahu said, "Hasan Piker and these, you know, look at who Mamdani's friends are."

It's like, well, look at who the U.S. and Israel's friends are. Apple doesn't fall far from the tree. From Iranian royalists to oligarchs in Latin America, they tend to all have very similar worldviews and, you know, objectives.

#Patrick Henningsen

Netanyahu is a perfect example. You know, what do Americans see when they see him on TV? Educated in Philadelphia, schooled in America, speaks with an American accent. You know, he's a white European. He's from Poland. And so people can—Europeans and Americans of similar types—will relate to that. That's like, oh, he's one of us. And look who's fighting: all these sort of brown-skinned people, Arabs. Ooh, they're not us. They're not us, so we're going to back our European brethren in this war, this fight. And that's as simple as that. They don't choose Israelis. Who's in line to be the next Israeli prime minister? Another U.S. citizen called Naftali Bennett, who speaks with a Californian accent, who is more radical than Netanyahu, I'll tell you right now. Much more crazy and unhinged than Netanyahu. But he's American. So that will be the heir apparent. I can guarantee it. Guarantee it.

#Danny

Well, Patrick, this was a great show. I want to thank Colonel Wilkerson, of course, for joining the program too. He had to go. Everyone should know that your Substack and your channel, 21st Century Wire, are in the video description. Everyone should follow those. Subscribe to them. Support them and that work with all of your fervor and resources if you can, because you do this work independently, as much as you spend your time graciously on channels like mine. Everybody hit the like button before you go. Thank you so much for these super chats and super stickers. Appreciate that. And thanks to all the moderators. I see you, Sam. I saw Aware here. Thanks so much. Thanks so much also to everyone who viewed. Patrick, any final words before I hit end stream here?

#Patrick Henningsen

No, other than, yeah, we'll be posting a lot of interesting videos on 21st Century Wire's YouTube channel, and issued a few warnings about what we think is war fever, which is building up now. We'll be talking more about that as well over the next couple of weeks.

#Danny

Great. You heard him. So be sure to check it all out. Hit the like button before you go and do that. That helps boost the show. I'll see you all tomorrow. Actually, first-time guest Eva Bartlett comes on at 12 noon Eastern time, September 26th. That is the date. All right. See you then tomorrow. Bye-bye.