

Iran Vows Missile Attack WIPES OUT Israel & US | Henningsen & Wilkerson

Patrick Henningsen & Col. Lawrence Wilkerson reveal the shocking reality facing Trump & Israel as they plan their imminent attack on Iran. Don't miss this searing analysis of Iran's coming retaliation and how it threatens to shake the world order to its core. SUPPORT THE CHANNEL ON PATREON: <https://www.patreon.com/dannyhaiphong> Support the channel in other ways: <https://www.buymeacoffee.com/dannyhaiphong> Substack: chroniclesofhaiphong.substack.com Cashapp: \$Dhaiphong Venmo: @dannyH2020 Paypal: <https://paypal.me/spiritofho> Follow me on Telegram: <https://t.me/dannyhaiphong> #iran #trump #israel

#Danny

The foreign minister of Iran has actually published an op-ed in the Wall Street Journal. What's interesting is that he's been able to get some airtime—Abbas Araghchi—on Fox News. In the Wall Street Journal piece, he says that unlike the restraint Iran showed in June 2025, this time they have no qualms about firing back with all they have. We can't get much more from the article because of the Wall Street Journal's paywall, which is basically impenetrable. But in this warning, he says, "Unlike the restraint Iran showed in June 2025, our powerful forces have no qualms about firing back with everything we have in a remote attack. This isn't a threat, but a reality I feel I need to convey explicitly."

#Patrick Henningsen

Well, I think we have to, you know, at this point, just look at the sanity quotient with the Trump administration between Tel Aviv—or Israel—and Washington. It's not a good equation. And then the potential for using or deploying nuclear weapons, I think the probability is quite—well, I don't want to say high, but higher than before, because the stakes are just incredible. For Israel, this is an existential problem. And, you know, as Larry said, it was demonstrated conventionally that if the United States hadn't stepped in to intervene at the end of the 12-day war, I really think the battering Israel was taking—if that had gone on for another 14 days—had a very strong potential to completely destabilize the Netanyahu government and Israeli society. Because you have to remember, Israel was so desperate at that time that they put a restriction on people leaving the country while they were being pelted by Iranian missiles.

I mean, just imagine that. You're getting pelted every night from the sky, and your government's saying you can't leave, because the Israeli government was really worried they'd lose control of a lot of their reservists militarily. They're still managing the debacle in Gaza. So, you know, for them it's an existential issue. For the Trump administration, it's an existential issue too, because it's a

credibility issue. And I might add, when we saw both True Promise 1 and 2—and Larry quite rightly points the finger at Jordan—even if Iraq closed its airspace to any Israeli or U.S. strikes on Iran, that would make an incredible difference. It would be night and day.

It would really restrict Israel and the U.S. But if you look at what was activated—just think about that—from Djibouti to Diego Garcia, to the Persian Gulf, to the Mediterranean, all the naval assets the U.S. had parked off the coast of the Levant, north of Incirlik Air Force Base, all those two dozen or so military facilities in northeastern Syria, what's left in Iraq, as well as the other positions the U.S. has in the region—in Saudi Arabia, in Qatar, and so on—the fleet in Bahrain. All of that. And you really needed to understand, at that moment, you saw all of it activated. All of it was activated. So the entire military footprint of the United States of America in West Asia has one purpose, and we saw that purpose demonstrated twice: it is to provide a defense perimeter and an envelope of protection for the state of Israel, which is about the size of New Jersey.

So if that's what it takes, and what that costs per year—we talk about our aid to Israel—add in the aggregate cost of all of that. That's included in the annual cost of defending the state of Israel that the U.S. pays for. That was made very clear during those two exchanges, what that means. So if that's what it takes for this little tiny state to exist, and to do what it's been doing—to carry out the rampage it's been carrying out against its neighbors for decades, but especially in the last couple of years—is that sustainable? Like, tell me how, financially and politically, the price that America and the region are paying for the state of Israel to wage war against all of its neighbors continuously—is that really a price that people are going to pay indefinitely?

And I don't think so. It's beginning to wear thin. You saw extremely brazen moves by the Saudis, by Turkey recently. You're seeing a reformation of interests and power blocs in the region. So I think it's safe to say the Abraham Accords are DOA at this moment, because people are really realizing—and I think it was the strike on Qatar that Israel launched that sent tremendous shockwaves through the region—that even a U.S. ally hosting a major U.S. military installation is not safe from this rogue state the U.S. is hell-bent on protecting. But back to the original point: why is the U.S. attacking or threatening to attack Iran? The reason keeps changing.

Originally it was because they were developing nuclear weapons, even though the U.S.'s own intelligence assessment—presented most recently by Tulsi Gabbard—said that Iran is not pursuing a bomb. Then Trump threw the DNI under the bus, and instead of resigning on principle, she kept her mouth shut, stayed in the administration, and took a back seat publicly as a result. She should have resigned at that moment in time; that would have been the best political move for her future. Now she's basically going to get carried down the drain of history with MAGA. But anyway, that aside—so Iran, now it's not the nukes, because Trump claims he destroyed their nuclear program.

Now it's democracy. Now it's back to the Samantha Power model, the neoliberal "responsibility to protect," you know—the John Bolton model as well. He subscribes to that too. So there are all these different reasons. But it started with the nuclear question. And, you know, the fraud of this can't be

overstated. There was an agreement in place to contain any potential Iranian development, called the JCPOA—the Iran nuclear deal. And Donald Trump, under direct orders from Israel, pulled the rug out from under that in May of 2018. Why? Why?

There was no reason given. There was no reason given. Trump's reason was—he did the thing with his hands—"It's a bad deal. It's a terrible deal. I would've never signed this deal. I would've never." It's terrible. He never said why it was a terrible deal. You had guarantors—the European Union, the Russian Federation. I mean, you had plenty of international guarantors. That's about as good a treaty, or as ironclad a multilateral agreement like that, as you're ever going to get. But I think factions within the U.S. probably always intended not to honor that agreement.

The Europeans certainly didn't honor their financial obligations either. But the point is, that was the solution. And now you hear the geniuses in Washington, the foreign policy wonks, saying what we need now is some kind of deal with Iran to make sure they're not going to pursue a nuclear weapon. I'm like, am I hearing this? So all of this carry-on is coming from Israel. U.S. foreign policy is not independent. Donald Trump—the Trump administration—is completely captured by a foreign government and a foreign lobby operating freely within the United States. They're dictating every single move the U.S. is making in the region. And I'm going to say, lastly, that this is the reason why the Ukraine-Russia negotiations are such a shambles—because for Steve Witkoff and Jared Kushner, Ukraine-Russia is a side hustle.

The only reason they're in there is because of Gaza, because of Israel. And that's it. They have no knowledge, no interest—not even—Steve Witkoff can't even be bothered to learn the regions in Donbass. He doesn't care. All they care about is this new golf club Trump is setting up called the Board of Peace. And the membership dues are pretty steep—it's about a billion a year, or a billion entry fee, or something like that. And he's got the Doral—you know, in Gaza on the Med. I mean, this is unbelievable. This thing—I mean, we can talk about this—this is one of the biggest scams you could possibly imagine. It's a non-state entity, effectively a corporate entity, where Donald Trump has—even in a corporation, you can't be CEO for life. But in this model, you can.

And he's basically trying to use it as leverage to get other deals that push the Russians and the Europeans via Ukraine—and then the Greenland deal as well. This whole thing could definitely collapse. It's beginning to look like a house of cards, because of the timing. From Trump's point of view, I don't think they've thought everything through, and they're not getting the kind of buy-in they expected in Gaza from the European partners, Russia, or China. So we'll see what happens. But I can't believe the Europeans aren't coming out more broadly and more loudly to categorically reject it. And this is tied to Iran, because for Iran, the Gaza-Palestinian issue is central. That's another thing people in the West don't understand—it's practically written into the Iranian constitution that they will defend anyone who's oppressed, like the Palestinians.

#Danny

Saudi Arabia did not refuse, actually—or at least they verbally refused—to allow their airspace to be used, and to allow the U.S. military installations there to be used for a strike on Iran this time around. And when Patrick brought up the Qatar strike from early 2025, I was like, wow, I completely forgot about that. But that's true. There definitely is this tension. And there were reports that the Gulf countries, supposedly along with Turkey, were part of this effort to stop Trump. But your reactions to all of this—even the Board of Peace—it's even worse, Patrick, I think, when you mentioned that. I think it's like you need to pay a billion within the first year to get permanent membership.

If you can stay there for three years, if you don't pay the billion to Donald Trump himself, then you have to leave after three years. So I guess he thinks you'll have egg on your face as a country if you don't do that. It's a complete and utter farce, but it also ignores the fact that, as you said earlier, Hamas and the resistance are still there and likely still going to be governing. So it's going to end up in a big clash, too—which brings me to you, Colonel Wilkerson. The Trump administration can't even address this situation in Gaza, which is, you know, about the size of Washington, D.C.—a prison camp that just experienced genocide.

#Wilkerson

I think the Omani foreign minister, who represents a country that, in my view, is the quintessential diplomatic entity within the Levant, was right when he said categorically that the cause of instability in this region is Israel. And our press didn't pay a lot of attention to that—understandably so. Our press is pretty much owned by Israel, or at least significant editorial boards and sections of it are. The New York Times, leading the pack, said, "He's right. He's absolutely right." And increasingly, people are finding it impossible to deny that. Whether it's to their own oppressed publics, to themselves, or to both, is really irrelevant. They're beginning to realize that that is the problem. So, as was just hinted at—or said, I guess—the Abraham Accords are dead. Any kind of reconciliation between the Arab states and Israel, I think, is dead, at least for a generation of Israelis.

If it exists that long—I don't think it will. A more important point to me, though, is that in this Gaza situation we're seeing what I call an attempt to build a Hobbesian world, in its most garish, raw form. What we're seeing is Bibi Netanyahu making statements like, "Well, phase two is really irrelevant to me. I don't see anything happening in phase two that would be relevant to me at all." At the same time, Francesca Albanese, for example, has released a report that includes, among other harsh criticisms of Israel, the claim that underneath the rubble we're going to bury not sixty thousand, not seventy thousand, not even a hundred thousand, but closer to five to six hundred thousand dead Palestinians—seventy-five percent of whom are women and children. I have no problem believing that, because I've worked with this, and I know it's a lot more sophisticated now.

I'd love to see somebody in Hegseth's Pentagon do this. I suspect they have. You take the tool the military uses for bomb damage assessment—it's much more sophisticated than that, and it really is sophisticated. You look at the materials the homes were built from, the streets were built from, the

basements are made of, the water system is made of. You look at all the infrastructure, in other words, in minute detail. You look at the population density, the approximate area, the whole situation. You look at the ordnance dropped on them, and you don't just look at 250-, 500-, 1,500-, 2,000-, 750-, and 2,000-pound bombs, all of which have been dropped relentlessly.

You also look at 155 howitzers—bigger ones, smaller ones. You look at artillery, in other words. You look at mortars, four-deuces, 81s—you look at everything in terms of ordnance delivered, both quantity and method. And you look at other factors that affect something like this. There are about ten criteria, and you can estimate pretty closely—within three to five percent—how many people are dead. And if you do that, you'll find at least 200,000. So what are we going to do with these 200,000 dead people? I'll give you three guesses, and the first two don't count.

A bulldozer like the one that killed Rachel Corrie—and many more of them—are going to go out over this territory and smooth it over. And we're going to have a graveyard for at least 100, maybe 200,000, maybe more Palestinians, 75% of whom are women and children. That's what Bibi's plan is. That's what Trump understands, I'm pretty sure, and apparently accedes to. That's what we're going to do. This is probably the greatest atrocity of this century to this point. And I've looked at Sudan. I've looked at a lot of other places. This is—and it grows in its dimensions because the empire has enabled it fully.

I think of Gaza and this—he's going to turn it into the United Nations, he said recently. This is going to be the new United Nations, this board. With such characters as Tony Blair in there, it's going to be a great United Nations. I'd like to see Blair be the new Guterres, U.N. Secretary-General. I forgot to mention that the United Nations, despicably, put its imprimatur on this whole thing with a U.N. Security Council resolution instead of condemning it outright. If Kofi Annan had been there, he would be up on his chair condemning everything that's been done there. But we've got a gutless son of a gun as the U.N. Secretary-General. Let's take it as gossip.

#Danny

Trump and Israel both basically put a gun to the U.N. Security Council's head, saying, "If you don't approve this resolution, we're going to take the gloves off again and massacre Palestinians like we did six months ago." So that was kind of the deal. And yeah, you had no words from Guterres criticizing that. But Patrick, what does it say—before we get to Greenland and Europe and NATO and this whole debacle—before we lose Colonel Wilkerson here?

I just wanted to get your final thoughts on this. What does all of this say about the U.S. at this point—the empire, Donald Trump's administration? The very idea that this peace board is going to work at all in Gaza is kind of a joke. I don't think anybody believes that. But at the same time, you have the administration thumping its chest about destroying Iran. It seems like there aren't many possibilities for any of these things, but it almost reeks of desperation. What's your assessment of how these two things relate?

#Patrick Henningsen

Thank you, buddy. I'll answer that. But just a caveat to what Larry mentioned about the devastation there—I'll say there's also a certain percentage of unexploded ordnance. And the number is unbelievable. For instance, I'm currently in Plymouth in the UK, and they just found an unexploded bomb from the Luftwaffe about five meters underground when they were doing a survey on a building site. They had to shut down the whole city block, and it took three days to remove it safely. They usually take it out to sea. So there are EOD teams still dealing with old unexploded ordnance. I also saw this when I was in Hungary—they have a huge riverine EOD team that works the Danube, as well as other unexploded ordnance sites all over Europe.

So if you think about the toxic waste dumps, as well as the DU—depleted uranium—potential, and the EOD, unexploded ordnance, I mean, even if the Palestinians stay in Gaza, they have to deal with this. These are U.S. unexploded ordnance, by the way, most of them. They're going to have to deal with this for generations. I was in Lebanon. I did a documentary about cluster bombs that Israel had dropped in South Lebanon, which U.S. MAG teams are still cleaning up. Israel refused to give the data—they have all the sortie data showing where those bombs were dropped—and refused to give that to international authorities so they could quickly identify and dispose of all this unexploded ordnance. Many kids have been killed in South Lebanon over the years as a result, partly because these things look like toys.

But just to put that in perspective, the Americans have no idea of the damage that has been wrought and that will continue for generations. That's the first thing. Back to the original point—the Board of Peace is designed to circumvent and basically push aside international law. And at the moment this has been presented, Israel sent a wrecking crew to destroy and demolish the UN Relief and Works Agency offices in Jerusalem. I mean, can you believe this? Everybody should be up in arms about it. But this is part of Gaza's legacy, and with the Trump administration, the goal has been to dismantle and undermine the UN. They've pulled out of many UN bodies recently, and Israel has blocked international aid—sixty-six organizations, I believe, or thirty-seven; I can't remember the exact number.

So, there's a war going on here that extends into multilateral institutions. This is part of the dismantling. It's absolute Hobbesian international politics and power politics, and it should frighten everybody. Trump's trying to supplant international cooperation and agreements with these fake corporate Ponzi schemes and MLM-type organizations, where you've got to pay in and all this other stuff, and they're meant to exist in perpetuity—he, his family, and his inner circle will ultimately be the beneficiaries, using that to leverage other deals elsewhere. I mean, it's so insidious. The last thing I'll say is, I'm so sick of watching Trump at Davos talking about, "I stopped eight-plus wars." What wars did Donald Trump stop?

Is there any actual documented proof of this? Or are we just going on his word that he made a phone call to Modi? Because a lot of these wars he claims he stopped—we're hearing from political leaders in those countries that Donald Trump didn't stop those wars. So I'm going to go out on a limb, Danny—and Larry—and I'd wager that Donald Trump has stopped zero wars out of the eight-plus wars he's claiming, and that he's actually started an unprovoked war in Yemen, Venezuela, Iran, and even threatened Denmark. And Gaza counts, because the U.S. is absolutely a co-belligerent in Gaza. So he has started and carried on with—one, two, three, four—so I see plus four wars, not minus eight-plus, which Trump is claiming.

So I think it's a load of BS. And it's sad to watch the right-wing press in America repeat these tropes constantly. Trump—I hear it on right-wing talk radio all the time when you're driving in America—"eight-plus wars, eight-plus." Where is this coming from? Where is the documentation of this? It's a total pile of refuse, and it's being used to bolster his credibility as a peace president or some kind of international broker of peace and goodwill. It's a complete fraud on a level that's just unbelievable and hard to comprehend, really, because nobody has actually challenged the provenance of this audacious claim that he keeps making and puts on the front of his—it's the placard on his door—"Mr. International Peace, A-plus Wars." I mean, it's unbelievable.