

Alex Krainer: Trump SCOLDS Netanyahu as Iran Sanctions LIFTED

Follow me: Substack: [https://substack.com/@dialogueworks?](https://substack.com/@dialogueworks?utm_campaign=profile&utm_medium=profile-page)

utm_campaign=profile&utm_medium=profile-page X (Twitter): https://x.com/Dialogue_NRA

Patreon: [https://patreon.com/Dialogueworks?](https://patreon.com/Dialogueworks?utm_medium=unknown&utm_source=join_link&utm_campaign=creatorshare_creator&utm_content=)

utm_medium=unknown&utm_source=join_link&utm_campaign=creatorshare_creator&utm_content=

#Nima

Hi, everybody. Today is June 18, 2026, and our dear friend, our brother, Alex Krainer, is here with us. Welcome back, Alex.

#Alex

Good to join you again, Nima. Thank you for the invite, and greetings to everyone out there.

#Nima

Alex, let's start with the breaking news. Last night, we learned that Iran and the United States—both sides—have signed the 14-point MOU, memorandum of understanding. And finally, we got the text, we got the document, because both sides released that document. So when it comes to what has happened so far, I think looking at the 14-point plan, you may see many people would argue that it is a huge defeat for the Trump administration, for the United States. But in my opinion, this is the reality of the new world we're living in. You know, you cannot have slaves anymore, because all these things that are happening in this memorandum of understanding—by the way, it's not a deal, it's not any sort of agreement.

It's a path. It's some sort of, you know, a starting point for the two sides to come together and talk. This is the main point. But the whole basis, the whole foundation of what's going on is what was, I think, the whole foundation of slavery—how the slaves came out and said, we are not slaves anymore, we want to have our rights. This is what's going on, to my understanding. But going to what's going on with the 14-point plan, the main point, the first point, is talking about Israel and Lebanon—not only Israel and Lebanon, but Iran and the United States. Looking at what has happened, what is your understanding? What do you make of the current situation between the two sides?

#Alex

I will agree with you, Nima, that this is a reflection of reality, simply. There was no military victory, which is what made this whole war so hard to understand—why on earth did Donald Trump go ahead to attack Iran together with the Israelis? You and I spoke up until maybe a week or two before the war when it became obvious. I was saying, there's no way, nobody's that dumb. But I was wrong, and they went ahead with it. And the reason why I thought they would never do it is because it's very obvious that Iran has escalatory dominance in the region, that they have been preparing for this conflict for 20 years, that they have all kinds of ways to hurt American interests in the region, that they could very likely even be able to evict the United States military presence from the region. So it was all downside. It was all downside risk, with upside only for Israel. If the war were successful, the main beneficiary would be Israel.

And then City of London and Wall Street. And the United States doesn't really gain anything from this other than having to police the region still, which is a heavy undertaking. I was wrong. So this went on for three months. I think that the United States and Israel tried everything to avoid this kind of ceasefire and MOU, you know, the whole framework. Because as I see it, it is a massive capitulation for the U.S. and Israel. Because let's remember, three months ago, Trump was saying unconditional surrender. He was demanding unconditional surrender. He was going to nominate the new spiritual leader and the new government for Iran. They were going to take control of the Strait of Hormuz. They were going to take control of Iranian oil resources.

They were going to deprive Iran of its ballistic program, their main defensive deterrent. This one, they are lifting... they're lifting the naval blockade. They are allowing Iran to export oil again. They are unfreezing \$24 billion of Iranian money. And they have agreed to a framework for reparations, which is not going to be cash turned over from the United States to Iran. But they have agreed to a \$300 billion fund for reparations and for reconstruction of Iran. This would be the first thing that the United States normally wouldn't allow. They would basically freeze that, sanction it, not allow anybody to invest a cent in Iran. Now they had to climb down from that position. Trump himself, in his first term, imposed maximum pressure sanctions on Iran.

So all of this has been thrown overboard. And so it's hard not to see this as a defeat for the United States and Israel and a victory for Iran. Now, that in itself makes the whole thing a little bit suspicious as well. Because who knows, maybe they're going to use the 60-day window, the 60-day ceasefire, to prepare for the, you know, next attack on Iran. But I think the Iranians themselves are not naive. They understand this, and so they will be preparing. But what I found interesting is that Trump was kind of asked how, you know, why this radical change of position? And he said that they were four weeks away from running out of oil reserves. So if that's true—and I don't know if that's true, because Trump said it, it may or may not be true—that would be a massively important factor.

But then there was also a statement that came from Israel, carried by Al Mayadeen. The former commander of the Israeli Navy said that—I'll quote the statement, I'll read it from Al Mayadeen English—quote: "We have seen what even limited Iranian missile strike barrages can do. The damage here in Israel is enormous, enormous. A significant portion of this damage is not visible to

us, and we are not aware of it due to military censorship." So Iran has been very, very effective in striking U.S. military bases and targets in Israel. And I think that this has taken the Americans and the Israelis by surprise because these strikes were extremely accurate and extremely destructive.

And so carrying on the military confrontation with Iran was obviously hurting the U.S. and Israelis much more than they were able to hurt the Iranians. So what do you do? You know, the more you carry it on, the more you get beat up and weakened, and the more your negotiating position deteriorates. So I think that this is part of that reality that you said. I think that they found out the hard way about Iran's military dominance and their military power and their escalatory dominance. And so at that point, there's nothing to—unless you're going to go to nuclear weapons—there's nothing to do but to throw in the towel, to tap out, and to say, okay, enough.

#Nima

I think Iran has never been one of the, you know, major geopolitical issues for the country's establishment in the United States. They wanted to manage Iran since the revolution happened by using Israel, by using GCC countries. But that's why I think in the case of the use of nuclear weapons, that's going to be the ultimate—for the ultimate enemy, for the ultimate cause. It's not going to come to what's going on now, because the main reason—let's remember what has happened with the foreign policy of the United States for many years. Just recently, we've heard from Antony Blinken, from Hillary Clinton. She said that Benjamin Netanyahu was asking her many times that the United States had to attack Iran.

We have to do this and that. That's going to help the United States in the region. That's going to bring some sort of enormous leverage for Israel. This is the whole plan all the time. This is the, you know, if you were to mention one single dream of Benjamin Netanyahu in his whole life, it was the attack on Iran and putting an end to the so-called regime in Iran. And he got it from the Trump administration. So the difference here is that there are two factors, in my opinion, that are of particular importance for the Trump administration. One of them is the economy, and the second is the stockpile of the United States during the war.

These were huge. These two factors were hugely damaged by the war. It wasn't a long war, you remember—39 days of war. So that wasn't huge. You see, you get into a war that you don't know what the main objectives are. Let's go after the main objectives. What was mentioned? They didn't mention it officially, but they were asking for no enrichment on Iranian soil. They wanted to put, you know, some sort of restrictions on Iranian ballistic missiles and no nuclear weapons. They talked about the Iranian support to the so-called proxies, Iranian proxies in the region, because Iran is supporting them.

These were the agendas on the part of basically—I haven't heard particularly from the Trump administration, but Israelis were so vocal about what the main objectives were. And Benjamin Netanyahu wanted to sell that. He wanted to convince the Trump administration, if you get in, you

do regime change, you're going to achieve all of them, all those objectives. And here is Donald Trump. What would be the solution for him? He's in it. The Strait of Hormuz is closed. No regime change. No enrichment. That no-enrichment policy is gone. Ballistic missiles—those objectives were, you know, somehow accomplished by this war. So there is no good option for Donald Trump. What else can he do?

#Alex

Yes, indeed. I believe, Nima, that Trump probably has had enough. He wants out. At first, I was very skeptical about this reported acrimony between Trump and Netanyahu, but it seems that it's real. And we can see that by the reactions from Israel and Israeli supporters who are borderline hysterical about this. I mean, they are now comforting themselves by discussing war on Turkey and/or Egypt. You know, they have to comfort themselves somehow. All they know how to do is kill and destroy. So I guess if they're not allowed to go kill and destroy Iran and Lebanon, well, then Turkey will have to do. It's complete insanity. So I thought it was... I think this is a very, very hopeful moment.

And I thought it was very interesting that Trump praised the role of Russia and China, because he said that they were neutral. I don't know that they're neutral. They're practically allies with Iran. They provided intelligence to the Iranians, they provided radars, satellite imaging, I think certain kinds of anti-aircraft, anti-ballistic missile systems, and so forth. So not exactly neutral, but he gave them this praise, which tells me that maybe there has been a certain level of coordination between the American side and the Russians and the Chinese. And it always takes me back to what Sergei Karaganov said a few months ago.

Well, more than a year ago, he said that we see our role with the Trump administration as helping the United States transition from the global hegemon to a normal global power in the least disruptive way imaginable. And I always pay attention to how the Russians and the Chinese are reacting to the United States. And they have been very restrained. They haven't criticized Trump too much. But on the other hand, they have made it clear that they support Iran in this conflict. And so it seems to me that they must have helped persuade Trump to climb down and that they will probably play an important role in the creation of the next new security architecture in the region.

And so I think that this is the next stage, which will depend on whether the Israelis, whether the Zionist interests, will be able to sabotage peace negotiations, whether they will try to again assassinate Iranian leaders. I think this is the reason why the document was not signed in Geneva, but it was signed virtually. Both Pezeshkian and Trump signed real hard copies, but Trump was in Versailles, which is interesting, and Pezeshkian was in Tehran. So nobody had to travel, because who knows, you know, if Pezeshkian traveled to Geneva, who knows whether he would come back.

#Nima

Yeah. I think you mentioned the role of China and Russia, which is important. We know that the Pakistani government has a good relationship with China, with Iran, with the United States, with everybody, basically. They were going around and talking with the Chinese, with the Iranians, with the Americans. And we had, you remember, the Iranian foreign minister going to China, going to Russia, talking with them. It wasn't all cooperation, you know, because these countries are reshaping the whole calculation, in my opinion.

And just today we learned the Chinese foreign ministry said the cooperation with Iran will deepen, and China and Iran are comprehensive strategic partners and will continue to advance their comprehensive strategic partnership, which is going to be \$400 billion. And they're deepening that. So everything, I think, is reshaping. And Donald Trump, how do you see the way that the Trump administration is somehow perceiving that? Are you getting there? Because look at the G7 and the summit of the G7. What is your perception of it today? He comes into the meeting, he says, I'm the boss, I'm here. And he goes around and sits. He says, I'm the boss. But when he laughs, he smiles after that. He knows that those things don't exist anymore because the world has changed somehow.

#Alex

Yes, Nima, I think I'm still very, very mystified by what possessed Trump to even want to go to war against Iran. I mean, that was so insane.

#Nima

I think this war is going to remain in the history of the United States.

#Alex

Yes, yes. And somehow Trump was persuaded to go ahead with it. Even though we remember that in 2019 there was great pressure on Trump to go to war against Iran, and he said no. And that was a big scandal at the time. It was a long time ago, but it was quite a scandal. And so he knew not to go then. Well, he went ahead now. Something happened. I don't know, we might never know what happened, but, you know, at least from what we heard from Trump himself and from Marco Rubio, they went to war for the benefit of Israel. They were dragged into it by the Israelis. Okay, let's pretend that that's true. So if Israeli influence was the defining feature of this war, then Trump must feel very angry because he has been misled, if that were the case.

He has been betrayed, lied to. You know, Benjamin Netanyahu, who I've always said—I don't buy this idea that Trump and Benjamin Netanyahu are great friends. I always thought, since Trump's first term, that Trump doesn't like Netanyahu. Maybe he hates him. So what was it? Anyhow, whatever it was, Trump said, like, okay, I'll go, I'll participate in it. And he betrayed his base. He alienated a lot of people who were his supporters, like his passionate supporters—like Marjorie

Taylor Greene, Tucker Carlson, Trump... oh God, you know, like a whole... now I'm blanking out, but there was a whole... Tulsi Gabbard, maybe.

There was a whole number of people around him that seemed genuine, authentic American patriots whom he suddenly alienated, and he was surrounded with nothing but zealous Zionists. And so everything went very badly for him, you know, a few months before the midterm elections. So he must be furious at the Israelis, at Benjamin Netanyahu, at this whole Zionist cabal surrounding him. According to Tucker Carlson's testimonies, he's aware that these people actually don't like him either, that they hate him, and that they have manipulated him. And so by now he might feel outright vengeful—not just resentful and hateful towards them, but maybe even vengeful. And at the same time, you know, the Chinese, the Russians, they've been restrained, they've been constructive, they've been friendly.

And I think that they may, very recently—you know, if this wasn't all overwhelmed by the Iran war—very recently in the news cycle, I think the Russians are actually starting the construction work to build the tunnel under the Bering Strait. So the Russians are not letting go of this spirit of Alaska. They still prioritize relations with the United States. So the idea that they want to manage the United States, help it transition to being a normal power—all of that is going on. And I think that in all these contacts that have been going on intensely behind the scenes, all of this has come back to Trump, that he's aware of this. He's like, gosh, that was a mistake to go with the crazies. But the doors were not slammed in my face by the other people.

And so I think that this has forced Trump to eat humble pie and to question who are his friends, who are his allies, who are the constructive sides and who are the crazies. And so it hopefully strengthens the multipolarity, the Belt and Road Initiative, the BRICS, whatever's remained of it. And it makes those forces stronger, and it makes the imperial Zionist conspiracy weaker. And that's that situation, Nima. But the situation in the UK and the situation in Ukraine are very much related. Two days ago—what is it today, the 18th? Yeah.

Two days ago, we had the release of the rape gang inquiry in England, which I think is going to turn out to be one of the pivotal events of the 21st century, because it could completely overturn the order in the UK that has had continuity since the Glorious Revolution in 1688. You know, the bomb had just exploded two days ago, and now the British people are starting to digest it. And you can see the anger mounting, and there's no more denying it. You know, there was this consistent attempt to deny it by saying, oh, if you talk about the grooming gangs, you're a racist, you're stigmatizing the Muslim population, blah, blah, blah. Now it's finished. That whole thing is over.

And the problem is so deep. It's so deep. It permeates practically the whole of the British establishment because the cover-up was run by two prime ministers, Gordon Brown and Keir Starmer. Mainstream media said nothing in two days. The royal family said nothing in two days. I think the British people are about to explode in anger. And so what happened? The day before the inquiry came out, British Royal Marines boarded a Russian vessel in the English Channel—a

provocation. And then the next thing that happened, a Russian frigate came, and then a private speedboat went and targeted the Russian frigate, which fortunately didn't lead to an incident.

But then this morning, Russia—Moscow—wakes up to practically apocalyptic scenes of the biggest yet Ukrainian drone attack. And so the skyline of Moscow is just black smoke. And it seems to me that the British are desperate now to get some kind of big war going, to provoke the Russians, because at home the situation is on the verge of a revolution or a civil war. And the system is completely losing legitimacy. And, you know, in the Middle East in 2019, it was the British leading the pressure campaign on Trump to go to war against Iran. The British are leading instigators of war in Ukraine against Russia. They signed the 100-year partnership to provide drone technologies to the Ukrainians.

So the Russians know perfectly well that it's the British behind all this drone warfare against the Russians. And by now, the British are not even bothering to conceal it because they want the Russians to react and begin a bigger war, to deflect attention from domestic issues and to turn people's anger towards an external enemy. So it seems to me that everything is coming together and that this conflict must be discernible to Trump. And he has to, he has to make a decision what side he's on. Because if you're on the side of Israel, you're on the side of the global empire. And then you're against Russia, against China, against Iran, and so forth.

#Nima

I think the case of Ukraine is getting more complicated. In the G7 summit, we've seen that, I don't know if Macron said that Donald Trump is getting closer to the position of the Europeans and he wants to put more pressure on Russia. And the point, Alex, which was raised by Donald Trump again, is that this war is taking too much time and they're not doing anything on the battlefield. Every time he comes out, he tries to picture the war this way. He says, why are they not doing something on the battlefield? But they don't understand the complexity of the issue in Ukraine. The case of Ukraine is too complex, in my opinion, because, as you mentioned, they have the support from the Europeans. They're providing right now, but the manpower is not there.

They don't have the weapons because of the war of the United States and Israel against Iran in the Middle East. They have depleted many of those missiles and interceptors, so they didn't have that much to send to Ukraine. But after all, Ukraine has managed to produce these missile drones together with the Europeans, together with Germany, the United Kingdom — all of these countries are helping Ukraine to produce more drones, not to fight on the battlefield, but to hit civilians in Russia. This is the reality. This is a complex sort of situation. And Russia, we know from what we've seen so far on the battlefield in Ukraine, is not willing to kill the people in Ukraine the way that you see what Israel has done in Gaza, what they're doing in the southern part of Lebanon. That's not the case for Russia.

Russia is fighting a fight on the battlefield. And the whole plan on the part of the Russians is the eastern part of Ukraine. They don't want to go to the western part of Ukraine. They know that would be a huge trap for Russia to go there. And there is no point for Russia to go there. Nobody is going to welcome them if they go that far. So this is a hugely different area. And Donald Trump doesn't, again, in my opinion, the problem is in Washington. He doesn't understand the complexity of the issue. He wants to put an end as he wanted in the Middle East. He thought that Benjamin Netanyahu was providing him with intelligence and everything was going to be big and beautiful due to the so-called regime change in Iran. And he sees everything so simple in Ukraine as well. This is the problem again in Washington, in my opinion.

#Alex

Yes, I agree with you, Nima. But, you know, at this G7 summit, one interesting thing was that Trump was practically ignoring Keir Starmer. And he was also ignoring Volodymyr Zelensky. So that's very interesting. And then this rape gang inquiry, which... you know, it's really the biggest crime ever perpetrated against the British people, probably in history. It's absolutely enormous. It's been going on for decades. The 250,000 British girls that were raped is the conservative estimate. The real number is probably much, much higher. And it's now blowing open. And the United States in some way has played a role in this, because back in 2019, there was a report prepared for Elon Musk.

And that report has vanished, but I have a copy of it. And so that was a very, very scathing report, which was suggesting that these rape gangs—it's not about sex, it's about money. These rape gangs don't just do drugs, rape, and human trafficking. They do VAT fraud, they do mortgage fraud, they do insurance fraud. They generate a lot of money. And then this money is being laundered through British banks. And it's almost certainly done in collusion with the intelligence services, because it permeates the whole society—the politicians, the councils, the National Health Service, everything is involved in this. And they're generating billions of pounds every year.

And some of that money, in this report drafted for Elon Musk, some of this money was linked to the financing of the 9/11 terror attacks and the 7/7 terror attacks. 9/11 was in New York, 7/7 was in London, 7 July 2005. And at least 80 million pounds has been traced going from these Pakistani organized crime groups in the UK to Osama bin Laden while he was hiding in the Abbottabad mountains in Pakistan, while he was already being searched for, when he was the most wanted person in the world. He received up to 80 million British pounds from these same groups, and the assumption is that this was being done deliberately, implying that the British government is an active sponsor and funder of terrorism that killed American people on 9/11. Anyway, that report disappeared.

I cannot find it. But if you go to Elon Musk's Twitter page, his X post, he talks about Grok, about SpaceX, about Tesla, generally, you know, his business interests, and about immigrant rape gangs in

the UK. That seems to be his main political focus. And when Donald Trump came, when he was elected in November of 2024, Elon Musk had a pinned tweet on his X page, which said, "Should the United States liberate the people of Great Britain from their tyrannical government?" His words. So there's some kind of a deep involvement. There's some kind of a conflict that probably could result in a major inflection point for history. Because the United Kingdom is involved in practically everything. Not the British people.

The British ruling establishment, they're victimizing the British people. They're very actively supporting Benjamin Netanyahu and the Israelis. They're hiding all this, but it's all coming out. They're providing weapons, they're providing parts and ammunition. They're flying spy planes, providing targeting data almost on a daily basis. So they're involved in everything. And it's creating a major conflict. And I think that this conflict will erupt at one point. And it's going to redefine the future of humanity's history going forward. I'll just read you a statement by David Icke, which I happen to agree with. Quote, "A hidden network is driving the direction of human society into extreme dystopia and an anti-human agenda."

The rape gangs in the UK—it's not just the UK, you know. We have to also say it's not just the UK. The exact same stuff is happening in France, Sweden, Norway, Germany, and maybe some other countries. Large scale, large scale—tens of thousands of rape cases by immigrants. And the governments of these countries are doing exactly the same as the British government. They're obstructing, they're covering it up, they're arresting victims. You know, like the victim will get like two years in prison and the rapist will get like ten hours of community service, something like that. And, you know, how is it that the same stuff is going on in Britain?

#Nima

Alex, this rape case is the cover for what they're doing, yeah?

#Alex

Yeah, it's just a part of what they're doing. It's just a small part. But basically, they're destroying the foundations from under our societies. They're really... Okay, so David Icke said "extreme dystopia." I have to agree with that. That's the right terminology. And if they're not confronted, if this doesn't come out, then they're just continuing to destroy society. They're trying to silence us. They're trying to introduce censorship. You see this conflict going on between the EU and X, with Elon Musk in the middle. You see them concealing these human trafficking networks, the rape gangs, the who knows what else. You know, now France is starting to look like the United States—you have these whole streets full of homeless people doing drugs, practically the same thing.

So anyway, you know, it seems to me that the pressure on the British establishment is coming from the United States. What role does Trump play? I don't know. What role is Elon Musk playing? I don't know. But it's interesting that they are even involved. You know, it's interesting that they even care

that this is a thing, that Trump goes to the G7 summit, ignores Keir Starmer, won't shake hands with him, ignores Zelensky. So, you know, whatever Emmanuel Macron says, maybe Emmanuel Macron is—what do you call it?—he's venting out his wishful thinking. I... I don't think after Iran that Trump's next thing is going to be to come back and join Ukraine's war against Russia. I don't see that. I mean, I've been wrong before. I thought something was too stupid for anybody to do before, and I was wrong. So I can't discount it, but it just seems so far off, so far beyond the stupid horizon, that I don't see that happening.

#Nima

Yeah, Alex, I think the only reason that you can have these sort of groups doing these things and not having any sort of repercussions, any sort of punishment, is because they're totally connected with the intelligence of those countries. Exactly. And they're doing—by the way, you remember since the war against Iran started, the main plan on the part of the Israelis was to invade Iran from Iraqi Kurdistan. And we know from the intelligence, from Iranian sources, that the British government and France were totally—they were training these people. They were sending the weapons.

Donald Trump himself said he sent a lot of weapons to these people. But they were training these people just two or three days ago. They had, again, after years, ISIS coming to Iraqi Kurdistan and saying, we are here right now, we are prepared to attack Iran. These are, I think, the main agenda is the same. How they manipulate these intelligence networks—it's a network of intelligence. They're all connected. They know what they want. Because many people are thinking that what is happening in Europe is disconnected from what's going on in the Middle East. They're all connected, and they know what they're doing. Yes.

#Alex

Yeah, exactly. This is, you know, the thing—I don't want to sound like a broken record, but it's the conflict between two systems of governance. And the imperialistic, neocolonial system is stemming primarily from the British establishment, from the City of London. And then the axis is City of London, Wall Street, Paris. And Paris is, you know, France is extremely important and has been for centuries, because you had, you know, even running into World War I, you had the French Rothschild network and you had the British Rothschild network. The same is true today. You have in London Nathan Rothschild, and you have in Paris Alexandre Rothschild. And so if you pay attention to events, you will see that when it comes to important events, policy plans, and agendas, London and Paris always work in cahoots.

When it was time to plunge the United States into a civil war and destroy the United States, it was London and Paris who were coordinating anti-US actions. I don't think it's different today because whatever their agenda is, it's not a pro-US agenda. They want to use the United States as the global policeman, but they would prefer if the United States didn't exist. They would prefer to somehow balkanize it, either make it into their clients or make it into a collection of smaller states that are

mutually hostile to each other. This obsession never changed. You know, they want to destroy Russia. They want to destroy China. They want to destroy Iran. They also want to destroy the United States. And I think that Donald Trump's attack on Iran was a massive blunder because he worked exactly—he played into their hands. But I hope that now this is obvious. Maybe it's not, but it should be.

#Nima

I think what's going on with the case of basically focusing on this 14-point plan—last night we learned at midnight Eastern Time, East Coast of the United States, based on the time zone of the eastern part of the United States—they have lifted all the sanctions on Iranian oil, oil and gas, and petrochemicals. And if I'm not mistaken, they were under sanctions by the United States for more than seven years. And so this is the new change. On the other hand, I think what is important for the survival of the agreement is not that much related to what the United States and Iran can do. It's basically based on what Benjamin Netanyahu decides to do in Lebanon, because Lebanon is the first point. And right now, Israelis are reporting that Donald Trump is putting a lot of pressure on Benjamin Netanyahu—not only right now, but as time goes by, maybe he's going to increase the pressure—because he doesn't want Israel, because as part of the deal, Israel must get out of Lebanon, withdraw from Lebanon.

#Alex

Yeah.

#Nima

And that is totally against the Israeli agenda. The agenda on the part of Ben-Gvir, Smotrich—they're so vocal about what they want. They want to annex the West Bank, Gaza, the southern part of Lebanon. They call it a buffer zone or security zone. It doesn't matter. It means that that's going to be part of Israel. Bringing back Israelis or pushing back their sort of agenda is so difficult. I don't know, how do you see the situation between the communication between the two administrations at this particular moment, when they know this disastrous war has cost them a huge sort of strategic defeat?

#Alex

Yes, look, the statements coming out of Israel these days are hysterical, and they're very hateful toward the United States. I mean, I find them shockingly hateful toward the United States—like the Americans betrayed them because the war didn't go exactly as they wished. As though the United States doesn't have an existence of its own, like they exist only to serve Israel. And if they refuse to serve Israel, well, you know, we hate the United States all of a sudden. The problem, I think, is in the very deeply entrenched Zionist interests in the United States, which have been weakened but

are obviously still very powerful, and we can see that by the fact that they've been able to insert Section 224 into the NDAA Act for 2027. Now, that section is from 2019—they moved it about.

And then Senator Tom Cotton inserted Section 622, which is, you know, one is the merging of the U. S. military with Israel, the other intelligence. Yeah, intelligence, technology, data—basically whatever Israelis want, you know. Everything. Yeah, you know, like they're going to get in, they're going to be in all the meetings, they're going to be getting all the clearances, they're going to have access to all the technologies, everything. And then Tom Cotton's section is the same for sharing of intelligence, meaning, you know, CIA stuff. Yeah, which are absolutely shocking developments.

So I'm not so worried about Trump because what he does, what he says, it's kind of in the spotlight, it's obvious, people can react to it. But what Congress is doing, this is a huge problem. And so this National Defense Authorization Act process is going to run through October of this year. We'll see whether the pressure from the public will force Congress to scale back this cooperation with the Israelis. But at the moment, it doesn't look like anything. It looks like the people in Congress don't care what the American people think, what the American people want.

It's all Israel, Israel, Israel, because they're all owned and controlled by the Zionist billionaires, donors, Christian Zionists, Christian evangelicals. And I don't know what's going to come from all of this, but I think that these interests are going to be capable of still exerting major influence on American foreign policy. So even if Trump sobers up, sees the light, and says, well, that was a really stupid idea and I need to listen to the Russians and the Chinese and the Iranians, we don't know what's going to happen. We just simply—I still don't understand how Trump was maneuvered into attacking Iran. So whatever pushed him in that direction is still there, and it's still scheming against peace.

#Nima

It's still there because it's his administration. It was Obama's administration. It is Biden's administration.

#Alex

None of them have decided to go to war against Iran. I know, I know. Remember, it was...

Right after 9/11, right after 9/11, the objective was seven countries in five years, and Iran was the seventh. So, you know, those Zionist forces have been pushing for war against Iran for decades, for decades. You know, when it wasn't the United States directly, they were supporting Saddam Hussein, right? And they were obliging their allies in the region to finance this, to help it. Germany was sending weapons. Britain was sending weapons. It's been like a whole—yeah, like David Icke said—a hidden network. That hidden network is still there. It operates in the dark. It's not just David Icke. There was John F. Kennedy that warned us of a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy. That's the

same conspiracy. What are they capable of? I'm pretty sure that they're weakened today compared to how it was before.

And they're becoming increasingly exposed, which is the problem, because their power depended on them being able to conceal their actions. But they're still there. They're still powerful. They still have a lot of money. They still own most of the American politicians who vote on laws and appropriations and funding of this and that. And they probably still control structures like the NED, the National Endowment for Democracy. But basically, Nima, that's the conflict. That's the fight. It's two systems of governance. One of them is this monolithic, ruthless conspiracy. The other one is the rest of the world, including American people, including British people, Swedish people, Norwegian people, Chinese people, Russian people, Iranian people, everybody. I hope that Trump sobered up, and I hope that he will cooperate with the Russians and with the Chinese, and that the deal with Iran will—that they will be able to reach a peace agreement.

#Nima

Yeah, that remains to be seen, yeah.

#Alex

Yeah.

#Nima

Thank you. Thank you so much, Alex.

#Alex

Thank you for inviting me. Unfortunately, you know, the news cycle has reached escape velocity. It's so hard to interpret things.

#Nima

Yeah, exactly. Exactly. That's why we have to talk about these things. There is nothing there to be predicted. It's totally unpredictable, in my opinion. Yes. Thank you, Alex. See you soon. See you next week. Take care and see you soon.

#Alex

Bye bye.

#Nima

Take care. Bye-bye.